THIS MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED WITH IN PERSON ATTENDANCE PERMITTED WITH LIMITED CAPACITY IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNTY AND STATE COVID GUIDELINES, INCLUDING MASK REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL THOSE ATTENDING IN PERSON. PARTICIPATION WILL ALSO BE AVAILABLE VIA VIDEO CONFERENCE OR TELECONFERENCE.

TO PARTICIPATE IN THE MEETING VIA VIDEO OR TELECONFERENCE, GO TO https://rainbowmwd.zoom.us/j/88094320417 OR CALL 1-669-900-6833 or 1-346-248-7799 or 1-253-2158782 or 1-301-715-8592 or 1-312-626-6799 or 1-929-205-6099 (WEBINAR/MEETING ID: 8809432 0417).

MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WISHING TO SUBMIT WRITTEN COMMENT TO THE COMMITTEE UNDER PUBLIC COMMENT OR ON A SPECIFIC AGENDA ITEM MAY SUBMIT COMMENTS TO OUR BOARD SECRETARY BY EMAIL ATDWASHBURN@RAINBOWMWD.COMOR BY MAIL TO 3707 OLD HIGHWAY 395, FALLBROOK, CA 92028. ALL WRITTEN COMMENTS RECEIVED AT LEAST ONE HOUR IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING WILL BE READ TO THE COMMITTEE DURING THE APPROPRIATE PORTION OF THE MEETING. THESE PUBLIC COMMENT PROCEDURES SUPERSEDE THE DISTRICT'S STANDARD PUBLIC COMMENT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES TO THE CONTRARY.

BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING

RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
Tuesday, November 16, 2021
Budget and Finance Committee Meeting - Time: 1:00 p.m.

| District Office | 3707 Old Highway 395 | Fallbrook, CA 92028 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Notice is hereby given that the Budget and Finance Committee will be holding a regular meeting beginning at 1:00 p.m. on Tuesday, November 16, 2021.

## AGENDA

## 1. CALL TO ORDER

## 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

3. ROLL CALL: Flint Nelson (Chair) $\qquad$ Julie Johnson (Vice Chair) $\qquad$
Members: Peter Hensley $\qquad$
Alternates: Chad Williams $\qquad$
4. INSTRUCTIONS TO ALLOW PUBLIC COMMENT ON AGENDA ITEMS FROM THOSE ATTENDING THIS MEETING VIA TELECONFERENCE OR VIDEO CONFERENCE

CHAIR TO READ ALOUD - "If at any point, anyone would like to ask a question or make a comment and have joined this meeting with their computer, they can click on the "Raise Hand" button located at the bottom of the screen. We will be alerted that they would like to speak. When called upon, please unmute the microphone and ask the question or make comments in no more than three minutes.

Those who have joined by dialing a number on their telephone, will need to press *6 to unmute themselves and then *9 to alert us that they would like to speak.

A slight pause will also be offered at the conclusion of each agenda item discussion to allow public members an opportunity to make comments or ask questions."
5. SEATING OF ALTERNATES
6. ADDITIONS/DELETIONS/AMENDMENTS TO THE AGENDA (Government Code §54954.2)
7. PUBLIC COMMENT RELATING TO ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA (Limit 3 Minutes)
*8. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
A. October 12, 2021
9. GENERAL MANAGER COMMENTS
10. FINANCE MANAGER COMMENTS
11. COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS
*12. AUDIT REPORT AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS ACCEPTANCE
13. METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT (MWD) LAWSUIT PAYMENT DISTRIBUTION
*14. CUSTOMER VARIANCE REQUEST - JENSEN
*15. CUSTOMER VARIANCE REQUEST - MCENEANEY
16. CASH RESERVE POLICY 5.02.220 COMPLIANCE REPORT
17. DISCUSSION REGARDING CURRENT COMMITTEE VACANCY
18. WATER SERVICE UPGRADE PROJECT (WSUP) PROGRAM UPDATE
19. REDISTRICTING UPDATE
20. REVIEW OF THE MONTHLY BOARD FINANCE PACKET
21. LIST OF SUGGESTED AGENDA ITEMS FOR THE NEXT SCHEDULED BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING
22. ADJOURNMENT

ATTEST TO POSTING:


| 11-4-21 @ 1:45 p.m. |
| :--- |
| Date and Time of Posting |
| Outside Display Cases |

# MINUTES OF THE BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING OF THE RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT OCTOBER 12, 2021 

1. CALL TO ORDER: The Budget \& Finance Committee meeting of the Rainbow Municipal Water District was called to order on October 12, 2021 by Chairperson Nelson in the Board Room of the District Office at 3707 Old Highway 395, Fallbrook, CA 92028 at 1:00 p.m. (All meetings are being held with in person attendance following County and State COVID guidelines as well as virtually.) Chairperson Nelson presiding.
2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
3. ROLL CALL:

Present: Member Rindfleisch (via video conference), Member Hensley, Member Nelson.

## Absent:

Member Johnson.
Also Present: General Manager Kennedy, Executive Assistant Washburn, Finance Manager Largent.

## Also Present Via Teleconference or Video Conference:

Alternate Williams, Construction and Maintenance Supervisor Lagunas, Information Technology Manager Khattab, Information Systems Specialist Espino.

Two members of the public were present via teleconference or video teleconference.
4. INSTRUCTIONS TO ALLOW PUBLIC COMMENT ON AGENDA ITEMS FROM THOSE ATTENDING THIS MEETING VIA TELECONFERENCE OR VIDEO CONFERENCE

Mr. Nelson read aloud the instructions for those attending the meeting via teleconference or video conference.
5. SEATING OF ALTERNATES

There were no alternates seated.
6. ADDITIONS/DELETIONS/AMENDMENTS TO THE AGENDA (Government Code §54954.2)

There were no amendments to the agenda.
7. PUBLIC COMMENT RELATING TO ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA (Limit 3 Minutes)

There were no comments.
*8. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
A. September 14, 2021

Motion:
The minutes be approved as written.
Action: Approve, Moved by Member Hensley, Seconded by Member Rindfleisch.
Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Ayes = 3).
Ayes: Member Rindfleisch, Member Hensley, Member Nelson.

## 9. GENERAL MANAGER COMMENTS

Mr. Kennedy updated the committee on the most recent SDCWA Board meeting where discussions took place regarding the SDCWA Long-Range Financial Plan. He also reported SDCWA was victorious in its lawsuit against MWD for which MWD wrote a check in the amount of $\$ 36$ million to SDCWA of which RMWD will receive a portion.

Mr. Nelson requested confirmation all the items contained in the suite of motions from the City of San Diego were adopted by the $67 \%$ vote. Mr. Kennedy confirmed.

## 10. FINANCE MANAGER COMMENTS

Ms. Largent reported the month of September has been closed out and how it was anticipated there would be more customer calls due to the rate increase, PSAWR enrollment date expiration; however, there was not a large increase in calls but rather a decrease due to fixing some issues with the phone systems. She noted the results of the customers formally TSAWR that signed up for PSAWR totaled approximately $34 \%$ making up $52 \%$ of the sales of that group of customers and that feedback received was many large growers could not afford to take the cutback with drought conditions. She stated this will continued to be monitored by staff.

## 11. COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS

There were no comments.

## *12. STATE WATER AND WASTEWATER ARREARAGE PAYMENT PROGRAM

Ms. Largent explained this was the program for which RMWD has taken the first step in applying for funds through the State for any customers who had a past due balance between March 2020 and June 2021 which consisted of a complex survey. She stated now that this step has been completed, it appears RMWD will receive $\$ 1.48$ million plus any associated administrative fees associated with doing that process. She noted one RMWD receives the funds, there is no requirement on RMWD nor is RMWD allowed to ask if these customers had any related COVID19 reasons for not paying their bills. She pointed out there is a standard that whenever a debt is forgiven, it is taxable; however, now the State is stating these are not taxable for those getting their accounts credited, but this has not been made official. She reported staff is in the process of drafting a letter to be sent to the appropriate customers notifying them their accounts will be credited and once RMWD receives the funds. She also mentioned the matter of whether a 1099 can be sent to someone without a Social Security Number was being investigated. She pointed out direction was also provided by the State related to those accounts that have already been
submitted to tax roll and collection to which the State advised RMWD credit the accounts for future services.

Mr. Hensley inquired as to whether the amount being received matched what RMWD had anticipated. Ms. Largent explained this was the amount submitted by RMWD and appears to have been accepted by the State.

Mr. Nelson noted at a previous meeting, there was a conversation regarding customers being in arrears having the amount transferred to their property tax bill; therefore, he wanted to know if this would need to be unwound by RMWD. Ms. Largent explained the State has advised those customers reported to tax rolls be given a credit on their account and not pull the amount from the property tax bill. Discussion ensued.

## 13. AUDIT UPDATE

Ms. Largent stated this was the second year RMWD received a clean audit. She noted this year's audit was better than last year in that there were only two very small things left over from last year in the management letter. She said this mixed with the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report and the award received for such will really help bring RMWD's package forward to the bank for the water efficiency projects. She mentioned she met with Western Alliance Bank last week who have good rates available to the District right now and what projects that may be included in the funding package for the Board to consider.

Ms. Largent noted the next steps with finishing up the audit are reporting out the reserve balances to the committee and Board, update the fund balance projections, mid-year budget adjustments and any changes mentioned earlier.

Mr. Kennedy added some of the wholesale water efficiency projects being financed in this manner was due to the detachment; however, when SDCWA changed their rates in June very different discussions may be held with both this committee as well as the Board of Directors.

Mr. Nelson inquired as to the term of the financing. Ms. Largent stated she has not yet looked at the specific options. Mr. Nelson asked if RMWD should anticipate receiving lump sum funding as opposed to a series of smaller loans tied to each project specifically. Mr. Kennedy explained it would depend on the different options available.

## 14. SHUT OFF MORATORIUM UPDATE

Ms. Largent reported staff was prepared to proceed with shutoffs in the beginning of October at which time the Governor and legislature pushed that out to the end of December.

Mr. Rindfleisch asked about the program to reimburse past due water bills for water agencies across the state and whether it also applies toward other utilities. Ms. Largent stated she was not aware of the programs associated with other utilities.

## 15. WATER SERVICE UPGRADE PROJECT (WSUP) PROGRAM UPDATE

Mr. Williams reported the project is now $75 \%$ complete and that things continue to go very well.
Mr. Kennedy added a great deal of data is being collected throughout the course of completing this project.

Mr. Hensley asked if it were found cases where piping would be at risk, would District staff reach out to the customer to offer some instructions. Mr. Kennedy stated in the event crew members found something of risk, staff would surely notify the customers. Mr. Williams added when RMWD and Concord crews are on location and note something interesting, they are in contact with the customers.

Mr. Nelson mentioned RMWD borrowed money for this project and asked if the nature of the loan is one in which the ultimate encumbrance by the District is how much is actually spent even though it may be less than the amount authorized by the lending authority. Ms. Largent explained RMWD took the entire amount and placed it in money market accounts on which the project costs are drawn down from; therefore, RMWD has been paying on the total amount of the debt but also getting interest on the amount not used or spent yet. Mr. Nelson asked if the project concludes as is being predicted and RMWD ends up spending $\$ 1$ million or more less than the amount for which the project is budgeted, what happens to the excess monies. Ms. Largent stated any budgeted monies not spent on the project will be brought to the committee and Board with options to consider.

Mr. Nelson stated although he was not advocating this, he wanted to know if the lending authority would most likely not have a problem with RMWD ultimately borrowing more than what actually expended on the project that is guaranteed by the savings. Ms. Largent stated she was making sure RMWD uses the actual lease for the draw down and the other one has more liberal uses. Mr . Kennedy explained why the lease may be more challenging due to restrictions.

## 16. DISCUSSION REGARDING POSSIBLE RESCHEDULING NOVEMBER 9, 2021 BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING

Ms. Largent noted she had originally suggested looking at possibly rescheduling the November $9^{\text {th }}$ committee meeting because there is no November Board meeting so that she would have an opportunity to finalize and present the financial report to the committee prior to taking it to the Board at their December meeting. She noted this was not crucial, but rather a suggestion to provide an opportunity for the committee to review the audit and Comprehensive Financial Report packet before it is presented to the Board.

It was decided to reschedule the November 9, 2021, to November 16, 2021, at 1:00 p.m. Ms. Washburn agreed to send an email to everyone notifying them of this change as well as revise any calendars, etc.

## Motion:

To change the Budget and Finance Committee meeting from November 9, 2021, to November 16, 2021, on a one-time basis.

Action: Approve, Moved by Member Hensley, Seconded by Member Rindfleisch.
Vote: Motion carried by unanimous roll call vote (summary: Ayes = 3).
Ayes: Member Rindfleisch, Member Hensley, Member Nelson.

## *17. REVIEW THE MONTHLY BOARD FINANCE PACKET

Mr. Hensley asked what kind of rate RMWD receives on the money market account. Ms. Largent stated it was approximately $1.1 \%$, but she will confirm.

Ms. Largent pointed out she will be adding a quarterly report related to project spending; however, she wanted to receive committee input as to whether this should be included in the fund balance projections or provided in a separate report. Mr. Nelson recommended all this information be included in the fund balance projections. Mr. Hensley agreed. Ms. Largent confirmed she would do the same for water and wastewater.

Mr. Kennedy noticed RMWD was down 6\% year-to-date on water sales from last year. He explained the challenge will be if there is a dry winter again next year, the State will most likely force mandatory cutbacks. Mr. Hensley asked how RMWD forces customers to cut. Mr. Kennedy stated a whole series of steps are provided in the District's Urban Water Management Plan where it states if customers do not cutback, penalties will be imposed. He noted RMWD could also be penalized if its customers do not cutback in their water use. Mr. Hensley stated if the key is to impose penalties, are the fines large enough to be effective as opposed to customers being willing to pay extra to get the water. Mr. Kennedy agreed this was also part of the challenge and how SDCWA and MWD will charge RMWD more money that would turn be allocated to those customers who exceeded their allocation during the period of time established.

Mr. Nelson referenced Page 12 of the agenda packet inquiring as to whether the gross revenues being up by $\$ 2$ million over projection was correct. Ms. Largent explained this was seasonal with the numbers being for July and August. Mr. Nelson asked about the red line presented on Page 28 being below both the FY21 purchases as well as the 5 -year average. Mr. Kennedy explained every month is $1 / 12$ of the year so it always shows this way at the beginning of the fiscal year.

## 18. LIST OF SUGGESTED AGENDA ITEMS FOR THE NEXT SCHEDULED BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING

It was noted the review of the audit report, WSUP update, and SDCWA/MWD lawsuit payment distribution, and committee membership.

Mr. Kennedy announced this would be Mr. Rindfleisch's last meeting due him relocating to Arizona. He wished Mr. Rindfleisch well and thanked him for serving on the committee over the past several years. Mr. Nelson thanked Mr. Rindfleisch stating the committee will miss him. Mr. Rindfleisch stated his relocation was very unexpected, he will miss the committee very much, and thanked the committee for welcoming him in this capacity.

## 19. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned by Member Nelson.
The meeting adjourned at 1:53 p.m.

Flint Nelson, Committee Chairperson
Dawn M. Washburn, Board Secretary

BOARD ACTION

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

December 7, 2021

## SUBJECT

PRESENTATION AND ACCEPTANCE OF THE AUDIT REPORT AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 2021

## BACKGROUND

Annually, the District contracts for the preparation of an independent audit and compilation of the year-end financial statements with a Certified Public Accountant (CPA) firm. This year the District authorized for the first year a contract with Lance, Soll \& Lunghard, LLP to conduct the fiscal year 20-21 annual audit.

The district prepared an Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR) for the first time for FY 2020 and submitted to the Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) to determine eligibility for the Distinguished Annual Comprehensive Financial Report Presentation Award. The District received the award in the first year of publishing the document. We believe the FY 2022 Annual Comprehensive Financial Report continues to meet the program requirements and will be submitted to the GFOA to determine its eligibility for another award.

## DESCRIPTION

The audited financial statements serve as a vital resource for financial information and fiscal transparency.
The annual financial report has been compiled into an Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR) for the second year. The ACFR includes three sections: 1.) Introductory Section, 2.) Financial Section, including the financial statements and notes; and 3.) Statistical Section.

The statements present a picture of the District's financial condition. The following are highlights of the District's financial condition that are depicted within the Fiscal Year 20-21 audit.

- Operating income for the current year was a gain of $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 1 5 7 , 8 9 1}$ compared to a loss of $\mathbf{\$ 7 , 8 0 7 , 1 7 0}$ in the prior year.
- Nonoperating income for the current year was a gain of $\$ 1,945,256$ compared to a gain of $\$ 1,076,914$ for the prior year.
- Operating revenues increased by $\$ 9,846,310$ to $\$ 46,047,563$.
- Operating expenses increased by $\$ 881,259$ to $\$ 44,889,672$.
- The District's net position from the prior fiscal year increased by $\$ 7,994,500$ or $9.1 \%$.
- This year the District had $\$ 10,092,792$ worth of net additions to capital assets compared to $\$ 10,807,591$ last year. This is largely due to the meter replacement project and acceptance of assets from developer agreements.

There were no major audit findings for the FY 20-21. The audit received an unqualified opinion of the representation of the financial records. An unqualified opinion indicates that the financial records have been maintained in accordance with the standards known as Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP).

## ATTACHMENTS

- Annual Comprehensive Financial Report FY 20-21
- Audit Communication Letter
- Audit Report on Internal Controls
- Audit Management Letter


## POLICY/STRATEGIC PLAN KEY FOCUS AREA

## Strategic Focus Area Four: Fiscal Responsibility

This audit was performed to document our practice of using Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) that demonstrate prudent fiscal activities.

Copies of the audit are mailed to the State Controller's Office and the San Diego County Auditor \& Controller. Upon request a copy of the audit will be mailed to the Special Districts Risk Management Authority.

## ENVIRONMENTAL

In accordance with CEQA guidelines Section 15378, the action before the Board does not constitute a "project" as defined by CEQA and further environmental review is not required at this time.

BOARD OPTIONS/FISCAL IMPACTS
Board option of non-acceptance would result in no changes to the numbers presented within the audit itself.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the Board of Directors accept the June 30, 2021 audit of the District by the CPA firm of Lance, Soll \& Lunghard, LLP.
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## December 7, 2021

To our Ratepayers, the Board of Directors, and Members of the Budget \& Finance Committee of Rainbow Municipal Water District:

We are pleased to present the Rainbow Municipal Water District's (RMWD, District), Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021. This document, which contains a complete set of basic financial statements, is presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and audited in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards by a firm of licensed certified public accountants. State law requires that all special-purpose local governments publish these basic financial statements within six months of the close of the agency's fiscal year. This report is published to fulfil that requirement and to provide the Board of Directors (Board), the public, and other interested parties these basic financial statements.

This report contains management's representations concerning the finances of the District. Management assumes full responsibility for the completeness and reliability of the information contained in this report, based upon a comprehensive framework of internal controls that have been established for this purpose. These controls are designed to protect the District's assets from loss, theft, or misuse, and to ensure sufficiently reliable information for the preparation of the District's basic financial statements inconformity with GAAP. The District's internal controls have been designed to provide appropriate assurance that the basic financial statements will be free from material misstatements. There are inherent limitations in internal control; the costs associated with a control should not exceed the benefits to be derived. The objective is to provide reasonable, rather than absolute assurance, that the financial statements are free of any material misstatements. As management, we assert that this financial report is complete and reliable in all material respects.

The districts basic financial statements have been audited by Lance, Soll \& Lunghard, LLP, a firm of licensed certified public accountants. The goal of the independent audit was to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements for fiscal year ended June 30, 2021 are free of material misstatement. The independent audit involved examining on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the basic financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. The independent auditor rendered an unmodified option that the District's basic financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021, are fairly presented, in conformity with GAAP. The independent auditor's report is presented as the first component of the financial section of this report.

A profile of the District is presented in the Introductory Section. In the Financial Section, Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD\&A) immediately follows the independent auditor's report and provides an overview and analysis of the basic financial statements. The letter of transmittal and introduction is designed to complement the MD\&A and should be read in conjunction with it. This report includes all disclosures management believes necessary to enhance your understanding of the financial condition of the District.

## DISTRICT PROFILE

The Rainbow Municipal Water District was organized on December 20, 1953, under the Municipal Water District Act of 1911 (commencing with section 71000 of the California Water Code). The Board of Directors is composed of five members who are elected by divisions of the District for four-year alternating terms, with the president being elected by the Board from among its members. Advisory Committees composed of residents from all divisions of the District assist the Board in water issues and financial planning. Operation, maintenance, and administration of the system is carried out by a staff of 58.5 full time employees under the direction of the General Manager, Thomas Kennedy.


The Rainbow Municipal Water District, comprising a total area of 82 square miles, is located in northeast San Diego County, approximately two hours driving time from Los Angeles and one hour from San Diego. It is approximately 40 miles northeast of downtown San Diego, California and 90 miles southeast of the City of Los Angeles. The District shares common boundaries with Riverside County, Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base, the unincorporated community of Fallbrook and the City of Oceanside. The District boundaries encompass the unincorporated communities of Rainbow and Bonsall, as well as portions of Pala, Fallbrook and Vista.

The principal activity of the District is the development and operation of a water transmission and distribution system capable of delivering potable water throughout the District. The District's area of service is predominantly agricultural and includes approximately 5,300 homes and a total metered service of 8,614 . In addition to water service, the District provides sewerage collection and disposal service to approximately 3,059 accounts.

## SERVICE AREA AND LOCAL ECONOMY

San Diego County is the second-most populous county in the state and the fifth-most populous in the United States. The District is located in the north-east region of the county and is rural in character with agriculture being the top industry within the district boundaries. The District borders the U.S. Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton, making the District's service area a suburb for Camp Pendleton's active military and civilian-service workers as well as commuters that work to the south in San Diego and to the North in Riverside and Orange Counties. The service area's population is estimated to be 23,536 based on the 2020 census. The District's population has grown recently with the addition of housing developments. The median household income in Bonsall was $\$ 92,544$ for 2019 , which is higher than the state median of $\$ 75,235$ and higher than the national average of $\$ 67,521$. Demographics for the District's service area are difficult to quantify due to the various towns and unincorporated areas covered by the District. The San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) projects that the County's population will approach 4.4 million residents in 2050, up from 3.3 million in 2016 . The District's 2050 housing density is expected to increase slightly as housing demands increase.

## DISTRICT POWERS

The District has broad general powers to perform all necessary or proper acts, including but limited to the authority to acquire, plan, construct, maintain, improve, operate and repair necessary works for the transmission and distribution of water for irrigation and other purposes and for reclamation of such water; the right of eminent domain; authority to levy taxes or, in lieu thereof, to fix and collect charges for water, including standby charges made to holders of title to land to which water may be made available, whether or not the water is actually used; authority to establish rules and regulations for the sale and distribution of water including rules for providing that water shall not be furnished to persons against whom there are delinquent water charges; authority to contract with the Unites States, the State and the agencies of either; and the power to join with one or more public agencies, private corporations or other persons for the purpose of carrying out any of the powers of the District.

## FINANCIAL POLICIES

The District maintains certain policies that govern aspects of the District's financial management. The District's maintains the following policies:

- Debt Management Policy - Defines the District's debt management.
- Investment Policy - Establishes permitted investments in compliance with State Code.
- Fund Balance/Reserve Policies - Set target balances for reserves and working capital.
- Capitalization Policy - Establishes the parameters for defining an operating or capital expenditure.
- Purchasing Policy - Establishes rules and limits for approval of purchases to ensure efficient buying and control of District assets.

These policies can be found on the District's website as part of the District's Administrative Code.

## WATER SUPPLY OPERATIONS

Since Rainbow Municipal Water District began water service in 1954, the District's source of supply has been water purchased from the San Diego County Water Authority (SDCWA). About 66\% of the water is used for agricultural purposes; all water is of domestic quality.

SDCWA is a County Authority organized on June 9, 1944 under the County Authority Act, California Statutes 1943, Chapter 545, as amended. SDCWA's primary purpose is to supply water to areas in the County for distribution to the SDCWA's member agencies in order to meet their respective needs. SDCWA's service area encompasses $1,418.2$ square miles, which represents about one-half of the land acreage of the County. There are currently 24 member agencies served by the SDCWA consisting of 6 cities, 17 special districts and the US Marine Corps base at Camp Pendleton. The SDCWA receives its water from the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, of which the Authority is a member agency. Delivery of this water is made by San Diego County Water Authority through aqueducts, all of which traverse the District.

Historically, SDCWA depended almost exclusively on water supplies imported from the Colorado River and Northern California by the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California. That changed in 2003 with the start of the largest farm-to-urban water conservation and transfer agreement in the nation with the Imperial Irrigation District, which now accounts for about one-third of San Diego County's water supply. In late 2015, the Water Authority added a new water source to its portfolio with the completion of the nation's largest seawater desalination plant in Carlsbad. Today, the Water Authority and its member agencies have identified potable reuse of recycled water as the next major source of local water supply, while continuing to aggressively promote water conservation as a civic responsibility.

The Water Authority is governed by a 36-member Board of Directors representing the 24-member agencies. A member of the San Diego County Board of Supervisors also serves as a non-voting member to the Water Authority Board.

The Metropolitan Water District is a regional wholesaler that delivers water to 26 member public agencies 14 cities, 11 municipal water districts, one county water authority which in turn provides water to more than 19 million people in Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego and Ventura counties. The Metropolitan Water District, to supplement its water supply from the Colorado River, has entered into a contract with the State of California for the delivery of water via the State Water Project. Metropolitan is governed by a 38-member board of directors who represent their respective member agencies ensuring each member agency is part of the governance of Metropolitan.

To supply the more than 300 cities and unincorporated areas in Southern California with reliable and safe water, Metropolitan owns and operates an extensive water system including: The Colorado River Aqueduct, 16 hydroelectric facilities, nine reservoirs, 819 miles of large-scale pipes and five water treatment plants. Four of these treatment plants are among the 10 largest plants in the world. In fact, Metropolitan is the largest distributor of treated drinking water in the United States. The District imports water from the Feather River in Northern California and the Colorado River to supplement local supplies. It also helps its member agencies develop water recycling, storage and other local resource programs to provide additional supplies and conservation programs to reduce regional demands.

3707 Old Highway 395 • Fallbrook, CA 92028

Metropolitan currently delivers an average of 1.7 billion gallons of water per day to a 5,200 -square-mile service area.

## WATER SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

RMWD's existing water distribution system is comprised of 12 major pressure zones with storage facilities. Four of the major zones are supplied directly from SDCWA aqueduct connections and the remaining major zones are supplied through pressure reducing stations or booster pump stations. In addition to the major zones, there are 30 reduced pressure areas that are supplied from the major zones through pressure reducing stations. The existing distribution system has over 320 miles of pipelines ranging in size from 4 -inches to 42-inches in diameter.

## WATER SYSTEM OPERATIONS

Operation of the RMWD water distribution system is very complex due to the large number of pressure zones, supply locations, and large capacity storage facilities which require frequent cycling or turnover to maintain water quality. Furthermore, the water distribution system is flexible in that supply from the eight aqueduct connections can be routed to different parts of the distribution system by making changes to several key valve settings. Reservoir water levels are connected to the RMWD Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system, such that the water operators are able to monitor the system throughout the day at the water operations center.

Tank water levels in several pumped zones are operated in a fill/drain mode with water levels set low to improve the turnover rate. In addition to normal supply operations, system operators have several documented procedures for alternative supplies to zones. In the event that pump stations fail or when aqueducts are shut down for service, tanks need to be removed from service. During planned shutdowns of the SDCWA Second Aqueduct (Connections 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 11), water from the North and Northside Reservoirs is supplied down to the Canonita Zone through bypass valves and pressure reducing stations. The remaining zones normally supplied from the Second Aqueduct are supplied from excess storage capacity in the Morro Reservoir via temporary diesel-powered pumps.

Four portable pumps are utilized to pump water from the Morro Zone to the South Zone. Supply to the South Zone can also be supplemented from the City of Oceanside's Weese Water Filtration Plant from a portable pump. The Northside Zone, North Zone, and all zones that are pumped from the North Zone are normally supplied from Connections 1 and 10 on the First Aqueduct and Connection 9 on the Second Aqueduct. During a shutdown of both aqueducts, these zones rely on water from in-zone tank storage, the North and Northside Reservoirs, and additional supply from FPUD's Red Mountain Reservoir, which is pumped into the North Reservoir. An emergency pump station at the Beck Reservoir site can also be utilized during a shutdown of both aqueducts to supply the Canonita Zone from the Pala Mesa Zone.

## WASTEWATER FACILITIES

The District provides sewerage collection and disposal service to approximately 3,059 accounts, maintains 6 lift stations and 60 miles of sewer main. On February 13, 2002, Rainbow Municipal Water District entered into a contract with the City of Oceanside, California to provide for the construction, operation,
maintenance, and replacement of a wastewater system to service the needs of both The City and the District. The City owns the wastewater conveyance, treatment, and disposal facilities and the District has the contractual right to discharge wastewater into the City's system.

## LONG RANGE PLANNING

At the April 26, 2016 Board Meeting the 2016 Water and Wastewater Master Plan Update was adopted by the Board of Directors. The purpose of the Master Plan Updates is to identify potential system deficiencies, confirm transmission main sizing and identify future capital improvement projects based on updated ultimate demand projections. The District's most recent water and wastewater master plans were prepared in 2006. There is the potential for a considerable amount of new development both within and outside the current District boundaries in the near future. The water and wastewater master plans serve as the basis for critical infrastructure decisions and capital fees. The master plans consider both existing, and ultimate, year 2030, conditions.

The District implemented a Strategic Plan in 2016 with specific Goals. It is a living document as the condition in the area of water supply and reclamation change over time. The Strategic Plan is reviewed by the Board annually along with various goals and objectives presented by staff. At intervals not to exceed five years, the Board will revisit the Mission Statement, Values, and Strategic Focus Areas to ensure that they remain aligned with the needs of the District at that time. A full update of the status of the goals and sub goals is published on the District website annually in the Budget document.

The district is in the process of implementing a strategic capital improvement plan to facilitate prioritization within the District's key focus areas: Water Pipeline Rehabilitation/Replacement, Wastewater System Upgrades/Expansion, Water System Storage, Water System Pump Stations, District Headquarter Facility, and Wholesale Water Efficiency Projects.

Wholesale Water Efficiency Projects are a major focus for the district as $100 \%$ of our water is imported through the SDCWA. Since the resolution of a lawsuit brought by North County member agencies against the San Diego County Water Authority, the two northernmost districts, Rainbow MWD and the Fallbrook Public Utilities District, have not had to pay the SDCWA transportation charge on water delivered through our direct connections to the MWD Aqueduct system. Currently, that fee is $\$ 173$ per acre foot, a substantial sum. Over the last few years, the Districts System Operators have been shifting as much of our demands to those connections as possible. Currently, about $60 \%$ of our water purchases come from these northerly MWD connections, with $100 \%$ expected by FY 2023. The transportation charge on the remaining $40 \%$ of the water amounts to about $\$ 1$ Million per year and that transportation cost is going up every year. It is in the best interest of the District's ratepayers to move as much demand as possible onto our northerly MWD connections in order to provide the same water at a lower cost. The challenge is moving water from these connections two on the east of I-15 and two on the west into the southerly service area. A key east/west connector from the Rice Canyon Tank down to the Pardee development is currently in contract and will be constructed as part of that project. This pipeline will greatly increase the amount of water that can be delivered from our connection 10 east of Rice Canyon Road into the main service area in Bonsall.

The District is separately pursuing a full detachment from SDCWA and should it be successful we will rely solely on these northerly MWD connections. Savings from detachment are currently estimated at about \$7 Million per year. This process will take a few more years to conclude and the outcome is far from certain at this point.

## AWARDS AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The District was awarded the District of Distinction Accreditation by the Special District Leadership Foundation (SDLF) for its sound governance and fiscal management practices and policies. To receive the award, a special district must undergo regular financial audits and have no major deficiencies. Board members and management staff must undergo extensive training in sound governance practices and management. Their operations must conform to all statutes and regulations under state law as reflected in a clear and robust set of policies and procedures. They must also have completed SDLF's District Transparency Certificate of Excellence which is awarded every other year and was presented to Rainbow MWD in 2015, 2017 and 2019. To receive the Transparency Certificate of Excellence, a special district must demonstrate the completion of essential governance transparency requirements, including conducting ethics training for all board members, properly conducting open and public meetings, and filing financial transactions and compensation reports to the State Controller in a timely manner.

The Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada (GFOA) presented the award of Distinguished Budget Presentation Award to Rainbow Municipal Water District for the annual budget for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2020. In order to receive this award a governmental unit must publish a budget document of the very highest quality that adheres to the program standards set by the GFOA. The award is valid for a period of one year only. We believe the FY 2022 budget continues to meet the program requirements and will be submitted to the GFOA to determine its eligibility for another award.

The district prepared an Annual Comprehensive Financial Report for the first time for FY 2020 and submitted to GFOA to determine eligibility for the Distinguished Annual Comprehensive Financial Report Presentation Award. The District received the award in the first year of publishing the document. We believe the FY 2022 Annual Comprehensive Financial Report continues to meet the program requirements and will be submitted to the GFOA to determine its eligibility for another award.

We would like to thank the Board of Directors for providing the direction and support necessary for the preservation of the District's internal controls, financial sustainability, and high standard of financial management.


# RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT MISSION STATEMENT \& CORE VALUES 


"To provide our customers reliable, high quality water and water reclamation service in a fiscally sustainable manner."

## Background

RMWD's adopted Strategic Plan's strategic focus area of Workforce Development calls for the implementation of cost-effective employee recognition programs to acknowledge performance, encourage development and improve morale.

The Operations Manager, Human Resources Manager, and Administrative Analyst partnered to design a program that would not only increase pride in serving the District, but also use the design of the coins to reinforce the District's newly articulated values and strategic focus areas. The program will give employees the ability to recognize one another for performance and behaviors in alignment with these goals.

## Overview of the Excellence Coin Program

The program includes a series of six Excellence Coins, each designed to symbolize a particular District focus area. The first coin in the series "Commitment to Excellence" will be issued to all new employees, Board Members, and Citizen Committee members upon joining the District as a tangible welcome gift and reminder of our purpose. Each of the remaining coins will only be issued after nomination and selection by management or peer employee groups.



## Professionalism:

We have open and respectful communication and interactions, both internally and with our customers. Our employees will always exhibit professionalism in all of their day-to-day interactions.


## Teamwork:

Our focus is on supporting one another to collectively be our best. We encourage communication and collaboration. We focus on quality and have pride in the work we do in service to our customers.
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## LSL::::

## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Directors
Rainbow Municipal Water District
Fallbrook, California

## Report on the Financial Statements

## Opinions

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Rainbow Municipal Water District (the District), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the District as of June 30, 2021, and the changes in financial position and cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## Basis for Opinions

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS) and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the State Controller's Minimum Audit Requirements for California Special Districts. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audits. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

## Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the District's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the date of the financial statements.


To the Board of Directors
Rainbow Municipal Water District
Fallbrook, California

## Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with the GAAS will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users made on the basis of these financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS and Government Auditing Standards, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsible to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate tin the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. According, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the District's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

## Other Reporting Responsibilities

## Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that management's discussion and analysis, the schedule of proportionate share of the net pension liability, the schedule of plan contributions, and the schedule of changes in the total other post-employment benefits (OPEB) liability as listed in the table of contents, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

To the Board of Directors
Rainbow Municipal Water District
Fallbrook, California

## Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The introductory section and statistical section are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. The introductory and statistical sections have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on them.

## Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated October 31, 2021 on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.


Brea, California
October 31, 2021
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# RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT <br> MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS <br> June 30, 2021 

As management of the Rainbow Municipal Water District (the District), we offer the readers of the District's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021. We encourage readers to consider the information presented here in conjunction with the District's basic financial statements, which begin immediately following this analysis. This annual financial report consists of three main parts (1) Management's Discussion and Analysis, (2) Basic Financial Statements, and (3) Required Supplemental Information.

The financial statements consist of a series of financial statements prepared in accordance with the Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements - Management Discussion and Analysis for State and Local Governments.

## FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

The District's ending net position was $\$ 96,076,304$.
The change in net position for the fiscal year was an increase of $\$ 7,907,648$.
The District had a gain from operations in the amount of $\$ 1,157,891$ in the current year compared to a loss from operations of $\$ 7,804,536$ in the previous year. Nonoperating income for the current year was $\$ 1,945,256$ compared to $\$ 1,161,132$ for the prior year.

This year the District had $\$ 10,092,792$ worth of net additions to capital assets compared to $\$ 10,807,591$ last year. This decrease is largely due to the acceptance of more assets in the prior year from the DR Horton-Horse Creek Ridge agreement than in the current year.

The District secured Capital Lease financing and Installment Purchase Contract financing for $\$ 5.52 \mathrm{M}$ and $\$ 5.25 \mathrm{M}$ at $3.09 \%$ and $3.18 \%$ respectfully in FY 2019. The capital lease financing will be used to replace all of the district meters with new, more accurate meters. This project began ramping up in the second half of FY 19-20 with expected completion in early FY 21-22. The project is projected to have a net positive financial impact by reducing our cost of unsold water due to water loss and increasing revenue from meters. The forecast is for an increase in revenue of over \$1Million per year at a cost of approximately $\$ 650,000$ per year. The Installment Purchase Contract financing will be used for the second part of the overall project. This part of the project was added to perform upgrades to our existing water services at the time of meter replacement.

There were no debt issuances during the current year. The current debt and capital asset balances can be found in the notes to these financial statement.

## OVERVIEW OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis are intended to serve as an introduction to the District's financial statements.
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## OVERVIEW OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Financial Statements. The financial statements of the District are reported using accounting methods similar to those used by companies in the private sector. These statements offer short-term and long-term financial information about its activities.

The Statement of Net Position, presents information on all of the District's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the District is improving or deteriorating.

The Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position, presents information showing how the District's net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscalperiods.

The Statement of Cash Flows provides information regarding the District's cash receipts and cash disbursements during the year. The statement reports cash receipts, cash payments, and net changes in cash resulting from operations, investing, and financing activities and provides answers to such questions as where cash came from, what was cash used for, and what was the change in cash balance during the reporting period.

The Notes to the Basic Financial Statements are included to provide more detailed data and explain some of the information in the statements.

## DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Our analysis of the District begins on page 9 of the financial statements. One of the most important questions to ask about the District's finances is "Whether the District, as a whole, is better off or worse off as a result of the year's activities?" The Statement of Net Position, and the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position report information about the District's activities in a way that will help answer this question. Measuring the change in the District's net position - the difference between assets and liabilities - is one way to measure financial health. Over time, increases or decreases in the District's net position are one indicator of whether its financial health is improving or deteriorating. However, one will need to consider other nonfinancial factors such as changes in economic conditions, population growth, zoning, and new or changed government legislation.

The District's net position from the prior fiscal year increased by $\$ 7,907,648$ or $9.0 \%$. Operating revenue for this fiscal year increased $\$ 9,843,686$ or $27.2 \%$ compared to the prior year.
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## DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (continued)

Operating expenses increased by $\$ 881,259$ or $2 \%$ from the prior year as a result of the increase in water sales. Non-operating revenue increased by $\$ 739,188$ due mainly to investment returns increasing. Non-operating expenses decreased by $\$ 44,936$ due to interest expense.

## Statement of Net Position

To begin our analysis, a summary of the District's Condensed Statement of Net Position is presented in Table 1 for the current year and the prior year.

Net Position is the difference between assets acquired, owned, and operated by the District and amounts owed (liabilities). In accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), capital assets acquired through purchase or construction by the District are recorded at historical cost. Capital assets contributed by developers are recorded at developers' bonded cost. Net Position represents the District's net worth including, but not limited to, capital contributions received to date and all investments in capital assets since inception.

Net position may serve over time, as a useful indicator of a District's financial position. In the case of the District, assets and deferred outflows exceeded liabilities and deferred inflows by $\$ 96,076,304$ as of June 30, 2021.

The fiscal year 2021 the Pension Liability had an increase of $\$ 630,683$ compared to fiscal year 2020. Net Pension Liability is reported at $\$ 8,158,280$ for fiscal year 2021 and it is based on the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability for the Miscellaneous Classic and PEPRA plans under the CalPERS retirement program. The District's Net Pension Liability was \$7,527,597 and $\$ 6,899,648$ for fiscal years 2020 and 2019, respectively.

The District's financial position is the product of several financial transactions including the net results of revenue and expense, the acquisition and disposal of capital assets, and the depreciation of capital assets.
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DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (continued)

## Table 1 <br> Condensed Statement of Net Position

Assets
Current Assets
Capital Assets
Total Assets
Deferred Ouflows of Resources
Liabilities
Current Liabilities
Noncurrent Liabilities
Total Liabilities
Deferred Inflows of Resources
Net Position
Net Investment in Capital Assets
Restricted for Capital Projects
Restricted for Debt Service
Unrestricted
Total Net Position

| \$ | FY 2021 |  | FY 2020 |  | \$ Change | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 36,522,450 | \$ | 41,157,401 | \$ | (4,634,951) | -11.3\% |
|  | 99,988,644 |  | 89,895,852 |  | 10,092,792 | 11.2\% |
|  | 136,511,094 |  | 131,053,253 |  | 5,457,841 | 4.2\% |
|  | 1,399,815 |  | 1,622,196 |  | $(222,381)$ | -13.7\% |
|  | 9,817,228 |  | 6,917,942 |  | 2,899,286 | 41.9\% |
|  | 31,447,152 |  | 37,146,582 |  | $(5,699,430)$ | -15.3\% |
|  | 41,264,380 |  | 44,064,524 |  | $(2,800,144)$ | -6.4\% |
|  | 570,225 |  | 442,269 |  | 127,956 | 28.9\% |
|  | 78,817,091 |  | 66,925,606 |  | 11,891,485 | 17.8\% |
|  | 7,312,691 |  | 11,057,533 |  | $(3,744,842)$ | -33.9\% |
|  | 822,428 |  | 1,129,682 |  | - | - |
|  | 9,124,094 |  | 9,055,835 |  | 68,259 | 0.8\% |
| \$ | 96,076,304 | \$ | 88,168,656 | \$ | 7,907,648 | 9.0\% |

## Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position

The District's total operating revenues for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021, excluding inter- fund transfers, increased by $\$ 9,843,686$ to $\$ 46,047,563$. This was primarily due to increased demand during a drier than usual winter and spring.

The District's total operating expenses increased by $\$ 881,259$ to $\$ 44,889,672$ as a result of the increase in water sales.

The gain from operations was $\$ 1,157,891$ compared to a $\$ 7,804,536$ loss in the prior year. Nonoperating income for the current year was $\$ 1,945,256$ compared to $\$ 1,161,132$ for the prior year.
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## DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (continued)

The following table presents a summary of the Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021:

Table 2
Condensed Statement of Revenues, Expenses \& Changes in Net Position

|  | FY 2021 |  |  | FY 2020 |  | \$ Change | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Revenues Water Sales | \$ | 41,779,797 | \$ | 33,156,919 | \$ | 8,622,878 | 26.0\% |
| Operating Revenues Wastewater Sales |  | 3,461,256 |  | 2,621,433 |  | 839,823 | 32.0\% |
| Operating Revenues Other |  | 806,510 |  | 425,525 |  | 380,985 | 89.5\% |
| Operating Expenses |  | $(44,889,672)$ |  | $(44,008,413)$ |  | $(881,259)$ | 2.0\% |
| Non-operating Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax Revenue |  | 685,562 |  | 653,320 |  | 32,242 | - |
| Investment Income |  | $(35,395)$ |  | 841,596 |  | $(876,991)$ | - |
| Other |  | 1,866,928 |  | 282,991 |  | 1,583,937 | - |
| Non-operating Expenses |  | $(571,839)$ |  | $(616,775)$ |  | 44,936 | -7.3\% |
| Gain/(Loss) Before Capital Cont |  | 3,103,147 |  | $(6,643,404)$ |  | 9,746,551 | -146.7\% |
| Capital Contributions |  | 4,804,501 |  | 11,239,897 |  | $(6,435,396)$ | -57.3\% |
| Change in Net Position |  | 7,907,648 |  | 4,596,493 |  | 3,311,155 | 72.0\% |
| Total Net Position (Beg) |  | 88,168,656 |  | 83,572,163 |  | 4,596,493 | 5.5\% |
| Total Net Position, End | \$ | 96,076,304 | \$ | 88,168,656 | \$ | 7,907,648 | 9.0\% |

## CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

## Capital Assets

| Changes in Capital Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FY 2021 |  |  | FY 2020 |  | \$ Change | \% Change |
| Land | \$ | 1,977,490 | \$ | 1,977,490 |  | - | - |
| Construction in Progress |  | 16,319,412 |  | 7,796,283 |  | 8,523,129 | 109.3\% |
| Buildings \& Improvements |  | 1,083,174 |  | 1,026,246 |  | 56,928 | 5.5\% |
| Reservoirs, Pipelines, \& Tanks |  | 154,424,398 |  | 151,581,951 |  | 2,842,447 | 1.9\% |
| Meters |  | 1,352,996 |  | 1,352,996 |  | - | - |
| Equipment |  | 5,104,639 |  | 4,814,128 |  | 290,511 | 6.0\% |
| Invest in Sewer Rights |  | 9,396,317 |  | 8,764,700 |  | 631,617 | 7.2\% |
| Less: Accumulated Depreciation |  | $(89,669,782)$ |  | $(87,417,942)$ |  | $(2,251,840)$ | 2.6\% |
| Total Capital Assets | \$ | $\mathbf{9 9 , 9 8 8 , 6 4 4}$ | \$ | $\mathbf{8 9 , 8 9 5 , 8 5 2}$ | \$ | 10,092,792 | 11.2\% |

## RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT <br> MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS <br> June 30, 2021

DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL ANALYSIS (continued)

## Debt

Table 4

|  | \$ | Changes in Debt |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FY 2021 |  | FY 2020 |  | \$ Change | \% Change |
| Loan Payable Morro |  | 7,607,547 | \$ | 8,070,736 | \$ | $(463,189)$ | -5.7\% |
| Loan Payable Beck |  | 5,740,488 |  | 6,090,000 |  | $(349,512)$ | -5.7\% |
| Lease Purchase Agreement |  | 4,017,747 |  | 4,524,987 |  | $(507,240)$ | 100.0\% |
| Installment Purchase Contract |  | 3,805,771 |  | 4,284,523 |  | $(478,752)$ | 100.0\% |
| Total Debt | \$ | 21,171,553 | \$ | 22,970,246 | \$ | $(1,798,693)$ | -7.8\% |

## FACTORS BEARING ON THE DISTRICT'S FUTURE

The District's Board of Directors and management considered many factors when setting the fiscal year 2021 budget, user fees, and charges. These indicators were taken into consideration when adopting the District's budget for year 2022.

## CONTACTING THE DISTRICT

Questions regarding this report should be directed to Tom Kennedy, General Manager, or Tracy Largent, Finance Manager, at (760) 728-1178, or by mail at 3707 Old Highway 395, Fallbrook, California 92028.
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| Assets: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Assets: |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 4,554,561 |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents |  | 8,135,119 |
| Investments |  | 12,682,963 |
| Accounts receivable - water and sewer, net |  | 8,038,455 |
| Interest receivable |  | 59,630 |
| Taxes and assessments receivable |  | 3,247 |
| Other receivable |  | 8,018 |
| Inventories |  | 2,402,739 |
| Prepaid costs |  | 637,718 |
| Total Current Assets |  | 36,522,450 |
| Noncurrent Assets: |  |  |
| Capital assets: |  |  |
| Land |  | 1,977,490 |
| Construction in progress |  | 16,319,412 |
| Buildings and improvements |  | 1,083,174 |
| Reservoir, pipelines, and tanks |  | 154,424,398 |
| Meters |  | 1,352,996 |
| Equipment |  | 5,104,639 |
| Investment in sewer rights |  | 9,396,317 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation |  | $(89,669,782)$ |
| Total Noncurrent Assets |  | 99,988,644 |
| Total Assets |  | 136,511,094 |
| Deferred Outflows of Resources: |  |  |
| Deferred amounts from pension |  | 1,399,815 |
| Total Deferred Outflows of Resources |  | 1,399,815 |

The notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

| Liabilities: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Liabilities: |  |  |
| Accounts payable |  | 6,639,741 |
| Accrued expenses |  | 380,727 |
| Construction meter deposits |  | 94,901 |
| Developer deposits |  | 473,408 |
| Unearned revenues |  | 321,936 |
| Compensated absences, current portion |  | 59,819 |
| Current portion of notes payable |  | 1,846,696 |
| Total Current Liabilities |  | 9,817,228 |
| Noncurrent Liabilities: |  |  |
| OPEB liability |  | 712,262 |
| Accrued compensated absences |  | 538,375 |
| Net pension liability |  | 8,158,280 |
| Prepaid capacity fees |  | 2,713,378 |
| Notes payable, net of current portion |  | 19,324,857 |
| Total Noncurrent Liabilities |  | 31,447,152 |
| Total Liabilities |  | 41,264,380 |
| Deferred Inflows of Resources: |  |  |
| Deferred amounts on pension |  | 570,225 |
| Total Deferred Inflows of Resources |  | 570,225 |
| Net Position: |  |  |
| Net investment in capital assets |  | 78,817,091 |
| Restricted for capital projects |  | 7,312,691 |
| Restricted for debt service |  | 822,428 |
| Unrestricted |  | 9,124,094 |
| Total Net Position | \$ | 96,076,304 |

The notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

| Operating Revenues: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Water sales | $41,779,797$ |
| Wastewater revenue | $3,461,256$ |
| Other operating revenue | 806,510 |
| Total Operating Revenues | $46,047,563$ |
| Operating Expenses: |  |
| Cost of purchased water | $25,916,888$ |
| Board of directors | 16,843 |
| Pumping | 627,681 |
| Operations | $2,055,704$ |
| Valve maintenance | 447,295 |
| Distribution | $2,516,855$ |
| Meters | $1,190,746$ |
| Garage | 500,127 |
| Administration | $2,048,907$ |
| Human Resources | 344,374 |
| Risk management | 960,809 |
| Information technology | 954,151 |
| Finance | 807,352 |
| Customer Service | 701,148 |
| Wastewater | 766,637 |
| Engineering | $1,624,801$ |
| Depreciation | $2,327,663$ |
| Other operating expense | $1,081,691$ |
| Total Operating Expenses | $44,889,672$ |
| Operating Income | $1,157,891$ |

Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses):

| Property tax revenue | 685,562 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Investment income | $(35,395)$ |
| Other nonoperating revenue | 1,866,928 |
| Interest expense | $(571,839)$ |
| Total Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses) | 1,945,256 |
| Income before Capital Contributions | 3,103,147 |

Capital contributions
Change in Net Position
Net Position, Beginning of Year
Net Position, End of Year
$4,804,501$
$7,907,648$

88,168,656
\$ 96,076,304

The notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash received from customers | \$ | 43,224,903 |
| Cash paid to suppliers for goods and services |  | $(33,498,094)$ |
| Cash paid to employees for services |  | $(6,646,912)$ |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities |  | 3,079,897 |
| Cash Flows from Non-Capital Financing Activities: |  |  |
| Property taxes received |  | 686,667 |
| Net Cash Provided by Non-Capital Financing Activities |  | 686,667 |
| Cash Flows from Capital and Related Financing Activities: |  |  |
| Acquisition and construction of capital assets |  | $(7,615,954)$ |
| Principal paid on capital debt |  | $(1,798,693)$ |
| Interest paid on capital debt |  | $(571,839)$ |
| Other nonoperating |  | $(1,319,910)$ |
| Net Cash (Used for) Capital and Related Financing Activities |  | $(11,306,396)$ |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: |  |  |
| Sales of investments |  | 2,343,912 |
| Loss on investments |  | $(19,797)$ |
| Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities |  | 2,324,115 |
| Net (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents |  | $(5,215,717)$ |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year |  | 17,905,397 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year | \$ | 12,689,680 |

Reconciliation of Cash and Cash Equivalents to Amounts Reported on the Statement of Net Position:
Cash and cash equivalents
Restricted cash and cash equivalents

| $\$$ | $4,554,561$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $8,135,119$ |  |
| $\$$ | $12,689,680$ |

The notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

## Reconciliation of Operating Income to Net Cash

Provided by Operating Activities:

| Operating income |
| :--- |
| $1,157,891$ |

Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash provided by operating activities:

Depreciation
(Increase) in accounts receivable - water and sewer, net 2,327,663 $(3,605,610)$

14,258
Decrease in other receivable
1,034,548
Decrease in inventories
$(384,577)$
(Increase) in prepaid costs 596,845
Increase in accounts payable 80,787
Increase in accrued expenses
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Increase in unearned revenue } & 311,557 \\ \text { Increase in deposits } & 457,135\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Increase in compensated absences } & \text { 105,011 }\end{array}$
Increase in OPEB obligation
3,369
Increase in pension obligation
Total Adjustments
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities
981,020
\$ 3,079,897

Non-Cash Investing, Capital, and Financing Activities:
Capital contributions \$4,804,501
Unrealized loss on investments
$(363,145)$

The notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.
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## Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

## a. Organization

The Rainbow Municipal Water District (the "District") was established in 1953 and is a Special District, organized under Section 71000 of the California Water Code. The District provides water and sanitation services to the unincorporated communities of Rainbow, Bonsall, and portions of Vista, Oceanside, and Fallbrook.

The District services and maintains approximately 315 miles of water main, 7 pump stations, 4 reservoirs, and 13 storage tanks to deliver water to its customers. It also provides sewer services to parts of the District and maintains 6 lift stations and 60 miles of sewer main located in northern San Diego County.

The District is governed by a Board of Directors made up of five members elected by the voters within the District.

## b. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

Measurement focus is a term used to describe "which" transactions are recorded within the various financial statements. Basis of accounting refers to "when" transactions are recorded regardless of the measurement focus applied. The accompanying financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus, and the accrual basis of accounting. Under the economic measurement focus all assets and liabilities (whether current or noncurrent) associated with these activities are included on the Statement of Net Position. The Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position present increases (revenues) and decreases (expenses) in total net position. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows.

The District distinguishes operating revenues and expenses from nonoperating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and delivering water in connection with the District's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the District are charges to customers for sales and services. Operating expenses include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenues and expenses. Capital contributions are reported as a separate line item in the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the District's practice to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

The District reports its activities as an enterprise fund, which is used to account for operations that are financed and operated in a manner similar to a private business enterprise, where the intent of the District is that the costs (including depreciation) of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges.

## RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
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## Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

The basic financial statements of the Rainbow Municipal Water District have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard setting body for governmental accounting financial reporting purposes.

Net position of the District is classified into three components: (1) net investment in capital assets, (2) restricted net position, and (3) unrestricted net position. These classifications are defined as follows:

## Net Investment in Capital Assets

This component of net position consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances of notes or borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition of the asset, construction, or improvement of those assets. If there are significant unspent related debt proceeds at year-end, the portion of the debt attributable to the unspent proceeds are not included in the calculation of net investment in capital assets.

## Restricted Net Position

This component of net position consists of net position with constrained use through external constraints imposed by creditors (such as through debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments or constraints imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

## Unrestricted Net Position

This component of net position consists of net position that does not meet the definition of "net investment in capital assets," or "restricted net position".

## c. Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources:

In addition to assets, the Statement of Net Position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position that applies to future periods and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense) until that time. The District has the following items that qualify for reporting in this category:

- Deferred outflow related to pensions for employer contributions made after the measurement date of the net pension liability.
- Deferred outflow related to pensions for changes in proportion. This amount is amortized over a closed period equal to the average of the expected remaining services lives of all employees that are provided with pensions through the Plans determined as of June 30, 2021.
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- Deferred outflow related to pensions for differences between expected and actual experiences. This amount is amortized over a closed period equal to the average of the expected remaining services lives of all employees that are provided with pensions through the Plans determined as of June 30, 2021.
- Deferred outflow related to pensions resulting from the difference in projected and actual earnings on investments of the pension plan fiduciary net position. This amount is amortized over five years.

In addition to liabilities, the Statement of Net Position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to future periods and will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The District has the following that will qualify for reporting in this category:

- Deferred inflow related to pensions changes in actuarial assumptions. This amount is amortized over a closed period equal to the average of the expected remaining services lives of all employees that are provided with pensions through the Plans determined as of June 30, 2021.
- Deferred inflow from pensions resulting from the differences between employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions. These amounts are amortized over a closed period equal to the average of the expected remaining service lives of all employees that are provided with pensions through the Plans.


## d. Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Investments

## Cash and cash equivalents

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include petty cash, demand deposits with financial institutions, deposits in money market mutual funds (SEC registered), and deposits in external investment pools, and marketable securities that mature within 90 days of purchase. Such marketable securities and deposits in money market funds are carried at fair value. Investment pool deposits are carried at the District's proportionate share of the fair value of each pool's underlying portfolio.

## State Investment Pool

The District is a voluntary participant in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) that is regulated by the California Government Code under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. The fair value of the District's investment in this pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by LAIF for the entire LAIF portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which are recorded on an amortized cost basis.
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## Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Investment Valuation
Investments with maturities of one year or less at time of purchase are stated at amortized cost. All other investments are stated at fair value. Market value is used as fair value for those securities for which market quotations are readily available.
e. Water Sales

Water sales revenue is recorded when water is delivered and service is rendered, including an estimated amount for unbilled service.

## f. Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The District recognizes bad debt expense relating to receivables when it is probable that the accounts will be uncollectible. Water and sewer accounts receivable at June 30, 2021, have been reduced by an allowance for doubtful accounts of $\$ 548,339$.
g. Inventories

Materials inventory is stated at the lower of current average cost or market. Water inventory is stated at its purchase cost using the first-in, first-out method.
h. Prepaid Expenses

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid expenses.

## i. Restricted Assets

Amounts shown as restricted assets have been restricted by debt agreements, by law or regulations, or by contractual obligations to be used for specified purposes, such as service of debt and construction of capital assets.

## j. Capital Assets and Depreciation

Capital assets are valued at cost when constructed or purchased. Donated assets, donated works of art and similar assets, and capital assets received in a service concession arrangement are valued at their acquisition value at the date of acquisition. The District
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## Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

capitalizes all assets with a historical cost of at least $\$ 10,000$ and a useful life of more than one year. The cost of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of assets or materially extend asset lives are not capitalized. Depreciation on capital assets in service, excluding land, is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of such assets and is reported as an operating expense. Capital projects are subject to depreciation or amortization when completed and placed in service. The ranges of estimated useful lives of capital assets are as follows:

| Buildings | $10-50$ years |
| :--- | :--- |
| Water Systems | $10-50$ years |
| Improvement of Sites | $10-75$ years |
| Equipment | $5-15$ years |
| Capacity Rights | 17 years |

## k. Compensated Absences

Vested or accumulated vacation and sick leave is recorded as an expense and liability as benefits accrue to employees. Changes in compensated absences for the year ended June 30, 2021, were as follows:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { alance } \\ & 1,2020 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Additions |  | Deletions |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ \text { June 30, } 2021 \end{gathered}$ |  | Due Within One Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 493,183 | \$ | 383,273 | \$ | 278,262 | \$ | 598,194 | \$ | 59,819 |

I. Capital Contributions and Capacity Fee Liability

Capital contributions are recorded when the District receives cash contributions or accepts contributions of capital assets in kind or when governmental construction grants are earned. Capital contributions are reported as a separate line item on the Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position. Capacity fees are paid by new customers prior to connecting to the District's system. Such charges are periodically adjusted based upon changes in construction costs and other factors and are intended to compensate the District for a new customer's equitable share of current and future system capacity. Capacity fees are reflected as a liability and are recorded as Capital Contributions on the Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position when the customer connects to the District's system.

## m. Property Taxes

The County of San Diego (the "County") bills and collects property taxes on behalf of the District. The County's tax calendar year is July 1 to June 30. Property taxes attach as a lien on property on January 1. Taxes are levied on July 1 and are payable in two equal installments on November 1 and February 1, and become delinquent after December 10 and April 10, respectively.
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## Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

## n. Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability and deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the District's California Public Employees' Retirement System (CaIPERS) plans (Plans) and additions to/deductions from the Plan's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CaIPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

GASB Statement No. 68 requires that the reported results must pertain to liability and asset information within certain defined timeframes. As of June 30, 2021, the following timeframes are used:

Valuation Date (VD): June 30, 2019
Measurement Date (MD): June 30, 2020
Measurement Period (MP): July 1, 2019 to June 30, 2020

## o. Other Post-Employment Benefits

For purposes of measuring the total other post-employment benefits (OPEB) liability and deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to OPEB, and OPEB expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the District's OPEB Plan and additions to/deductions from the OPEB Plans' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by the Plan. For this purpose, the District's OPEB Plan recognizes benefit payments when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value, except for money market investments and participating interest-earning investment contracts that have a maturity at the time of purchase of one year or less, which are reported at cost.

## p. Interest Expense

The District incurs interest charges on long-term debt. Interest expense for the year ended June 30, 2021, was $\$ 571,839$ and was reflected as nonoperating on the Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position. The District did not capitalize any interest during the year ended June 30, 2021.

## q. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

## RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
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## Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

## r. Implementation of New Accounting Pronouncement

The District early adopted GASB Statement No. 98, the Annual Comprehensive Financial Report, which establishes the term annual comprehensive financial report and its acronym $A C F R$. The new term and acronym replace instances of comprehensive annual financial report and its acronym in generally accepted accounting principles for state and local governments. This statement was developed in response to concerns raised by stakeholders that the common pronunciation of the acronym for comprehensive annual financial report sounds like a profoundly objectionable racial slur. This Statement's introduction of the new term is founded on a commitment to promoting inclusiveness.

## Note 2: Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Investments

Cash and investments at June 30, 2021, are classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

| Statement of Net Position: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Current Assets: |  |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | \$ 4,554,561 |
| Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents | 8,135,119 |
| Investments | 12,682,963 |
| Total Cash and Investments | \$ 25,372,643 |
| Cash and Investments Consist of the Following: |  |
| Cash on Hand | \$ 500 |
| Deposits with Financial Institutions | 4,406,497 |
| Investments | 20,965,646 |
| Total Cash and Investments | \$ 25,372,643 |

Investments Authorized by the California Government Code and the District's Investment Policy:
The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized for the District by the California Government Code (or the District's investment policy, where more restrictive). The table also identifies certain provisions of the California Government Code (or the District's investment policy, where more restrictive) that address interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk.
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## Note 2: Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Investments (Continued)

This table does not address investments of debt proceeds held by bond trustee that are governed by the provisions of debt agreements of the District, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the District's investment policy.

| Authorized Investment Type | Maximum Maturity | Maximum Percentage of Portfolio | Maximum Investment in One Issuer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Treasury Obligations | 5 years | None | None |
| U.S. Government Sponsored Entities | 5 years | None | None |
| U.S. Government Agency Callable | 5 years | 75\% | None |
| Banker's Acceptances | 180 days | 40\% | 5\% |
| Commercial Paper | 270 days | 15\% | 5\% |
| Negotiable Certificates of Deposit | 5 years | 30\% | 5\% |
| Time Certificates of Deposit | 5 years | 25\% | 5\% |
| Repurchase Agreements | 90 days | None | 5\% |
| Medium-Term Notes | 5 years | 30\% | 15\% |
| Money Market Mutual Funds | N/A | 20\% | None |
| Local Agency Investment Funds | N/A | 100\% | \$30,000,000 |

## Investments Authorized by Debt Agreements:

Investment of debt proceeds held by bond trustee are governed by provisions of the debt agreements, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the District's investment policy. Investments authorized for funds held by bond trustees include

US Treasury Bills, Notes and Bonds, US Treasury Obligations, Resolution Funding Corp (REFCORP), Prefunded Municipal Bonds, US Government-Sponsored Agency Securities, Commercial Paper, Money Market Mutual Funds, Certificates of Deposits, Guaranteed Investment Contracts, Banker's Acceptance, Repurchase Agreements, and Local Agency Investment Funds. There are no limitations on the maximum amount that can be invested in one issuer, maximum percentage allowed, or the maximum maturity of an investment, except for the maturity of Banker's Acceptances, which are limited to one year and Repurchase Agreements, which are limited to 30 days.

## Disclosures Relating to Interest Rate Risk:

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. One of the ways that the District manages its exposure to interest rate risk is by purchasing a combination of shorter-term and longer-term investments and by timing cash flows from maturities so that a portion of the portfolio is maturing or coming close to maturity evenly over time to provide the cash flow and liquidity needed for operations.
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## Note 2: Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Investments (Continued)

Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of the District's investments to market interest rate fluctuations is provided by the following table that shows the distribution of the District's investments by maturity at June 30, 2021.

| Investment | Type Total | Remaining Maturity (in Months) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 12 Months or Less | 13 to 24 Months | 25 to 60 <br> Months |
| Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) | \$ 147,563 | \$ 147,563 | \$ | \$ |
| Money Market Mutual Funds | 10,333,429 | 10,333,429 | - | - |
| Negotiable Certificates of Deposit | 3,812,364 | 1,247,446 | 1,016,385 | 1,548,533 |
| Medium Term Notes | 6,468,520 | 897,169 | 495,781 | 5,075,570 |
| U.S. Sponsored Entities | 203,770 | - | 203,770 | - |
| Total | \$ 20,965,646 | \$ 12,625,607 | \$ 1,715,936 | \$ 6,624,103 |

## Disclosures Relating to Credit Risk:

Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Presented below is the minimum rating required by (where applicable) the California Government Code, the District's Investment Policy, or debt agreements, and the Moody's rating for each investment type at June 30, 2021.

| Investment Type | Total |  |  | Minimum | Moody's Ratings as of June 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Aaa | A1-A3 |  | Not Rated |  |
| Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) |  | \$ | 147,563 |  | N/A | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 147,563 |
| Money Market Mutual Funds |  |  | 10,333,429 | N/A |  | - |  | - |  | 10,333,429 |
| Negotiable Certificates of Deposit |  |  | 3,812,364 | N/A |  | - |  | - |  | 3,812,364 |
| Medium-Term Notes |  |  | 6,468,520 | A2 |  | - |  | 6,468,520 |  |  |
| U.S. Sponsored Entities |  |  | 203,770 | N/A |  | 203,770 |  | - |  | - |
| Total |  | \$ | 20,965,646 |  | \$ | 203,770 | \$ | 6,468,520 | \$ | 14,293,356 |

## Concentration of Credit Risk:

The investment policy of the District is in accordance with limitations on the amount that can be invested in any one issuer as stipulated by the California Government Code. There were no investments held on June 30, 2021 in any one issuer that represent 5\% or more of total District investments, except for the Local Agency Investment Fund and mutual funds which are exempt from this stipulation.

## Custodial Credit Risk:

Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, a government will not be able to recover its deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The custodial credit for investments is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty (e.g. broker-dealer) to a transaction, a government will not be able to recover the value of its investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of another party. The California Government Code and the District's investment policy do not contain legal or policy
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requirements that would limit the exposure to custodial credit risk for deposits or investments, other than the following provision for deposits: The California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by state or local government units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under state law (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least $110 \%$ of the total amount deposited by the public agencies. California law also allows financial institutions to secure deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of $150 \%$ of the secured public deposits. As of June 30, 2021, $\$ 4,304,561$ of the District's deposits with financial institutions in excess of the Federal insurance limits were held in collateralized accounts.

## Fair Value Measurements:

The District categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the assets. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets, Level 2 inputs are quoted prices for similar assets in active markets, and Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. At June 30, 2021, all investments held by the District are valued using Level 2 inputs, with the exception of the Local Agency Investment Fund and money market mutual funds, which are measured at cost or net asset value and are considered uncategorized.

## Note 3: Capital Assets

Changes in capital assets for the year ended June 30, 2021, were as follows:

|  | Balance June 30, 2020 |  | Additions |  | Deletions |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Balance } \\ \text { June 30, } 2021 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital Assets, Not Being Depreciated: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land | \$ | 1,977,490 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,977,490 |
| Construction in Progress |  | 7,796,283 |  | 11,313,013 |  | 2,789,884 |  | 16,319,412 |
| Total Capital Assets Not Being Depreciated |  | 9,773,773 |  | 11,313,013 |  | 2,789,884 |  | 18,296,902 |
| Capital Assets, Being Depreciated: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings and Improvements |  | 1,026,246 |  | 56,928 |  | - |  | 1,083,174 |
| Reservoirs Pipelines, and Tanks |  | 151,581,951 |  | 2,842,447 |  |  |  | 154,424,398 |
| Meters |  | 1,352,996 |  |  |  | - |  | 1,352,996 |
| Equipment |  | 4,814,128 |  | 366,334 |  | 75,823 |  | 5,104,639 |
| Investment in Sewer Rights |  | 8,764,700 |  | 631,617 |  | - |  | 9,396,317 |
| Total Capital Assets, Being Depreciated |  | 167,540,021 |  | 3,897,326 |  | 75,823 |  | 171,361,524 |
| Accumulated Depreciation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings and Improvements |  | $(944,118)$ |  | $(10,405)$ |  | - |  | $(954,523)$ |
| Reservoirs Pipelines, and Tasks |  | $(80,724,182)$ |  | $(1,886,701)$ |  | - |  | $(82,610,883)$ |
| Meters |  | $(554,049)$ |  | $(83,810)$ |  | - |  | $(637,859)$ |
| Equipment |  | $(3,627,357)$ |  | $(194,412)$ |  | $(75,823)$ |  | $(3,745,946)$ |
| Investment in Sewer Rights |  | $(1,568,236)$ |  | $(152,335)$ |  | - |  | $(1,720,571)$ |
| Total Accumulated Depreciation |  | $(87,417,942)$ |  | (2,327,663) |  | $(75,823)$ |  | (89,669,782) |
| Total Capital Assets, Being Depreciated, Net |  | 80,122,079 |  | 1,569,663 |  |  |  | 81,691,742 |
| Total Capital Assets, Net | \$ | 89,895,852 | \$ | 12,882,676 | \$ | 2,789,884 | \$ | 99,988,644 |

Depreciation expense for depreciable capital assets was $\$ 2,327,663$ for the year ended June 30, 2021.
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## Note 4: Long Term Debt

Changes in long-term debt for the year ended June 30, 2021 were as follows:

| Direct Borrowings: | Balance June 30, 2020 |  | Additions |  | Deletions |  | Balance June 30, 2021 |  | Due Within One Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loan Payable SRF Morro | \$ | 8,070,736 | \$ | - | \$ | $(463,189)$ | \$ | 7,607,547 | \$ | 472,937 |
| Loan Payable SRF Beck |  | 6,090,000 |  | - |  | $(349,512)$ |  | 5,740,488 |  | 356,867 |
| Lease Purchase Agreement |  | 4,524,987 |  | - |  | $(507,240)$ |  | 4,017,747 |  | 522,914 |
| Installment Purchase Contract |  | 4,284,523 |  | - |  | $(478,752)$ |  | 3,805,771 |  | 493,978 |
| Total | \$ | 22,970,246 | \$ | - | \$ | $\underline{(1,798,693)}$ | \$ | 21,171,553 | \$ | 1,846,696 |

## a. SRF Morro Loan Payable

On October 31, 2012, the District entered into an agreement with the State of California Department of Public Health for a loan in the amount of $\$ 10,246,413$ pursuant to the California Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Law of 1997, Part 12, Chapter 4.5, of Division 104 of Health and Safety Code (commencing with Section 116270) to assist in financing construction of a project which will enable the District to meet safe drinking water standards. The rate of interest to be paid on the principal amount of the loan shall be $2.0933 \%$ annually. On June 30, 2021, the amount outstanding was \$7,607,547.

## b. SRF Beck Loan Payable

On October 31, 2012, the District entered into an agreement with the State of California Department of Public Health for a loan in the amount of $\$ 7,731,716$ pursuant to the California Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Law of 1997, Part 12, Chapter 4.5, of Division 104 of Health and Safety Code (commencing with Section 116270) to assist in financing construction of a project which will enable the District to meet safe drinking water standards. The rate of interest to be paid on the principal amount of the loan shall be 2.0933\% annually. At June 30, 2021, the amount outstanding was \$5,740,488.

## c. Lease Purchase Agreement

On September 21, 2018, the District entered into a lease/purchase agreement with Zion Bank as lessor in the amount of $\$ 5,523,284$ to finance water meters. Payments of principal and interest are due annually on July 1. The rate of interest to be paid on the principal amount of the lease is $3.090 \%$. At June 30, 2021, the amount outstanding was \$4,017,747

## d. Installment Purchase Contract

On October 1, 2018, the District entered into an Installment Purchase Contract with ZMFU II, Inc. in the amount of \$5,249,905 to finance the construction, acquisition, and improvements associated with a District-wide water meter replacement program. Principal payments are due annually on July 1 and interest payments are due semi-annually on July 1 and January 1. The rate of interest to be paid on the principal amount of the lease is $3.180 \%$. At June 30, 2021, the amount outstanding was $\$ 3,805,771$.
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## Note 4: Long Term Debt (Continued)

Future debt service requirements for the above notes payable based on the initial loan rates is as follows:

| Year Ending June 30, | Principal |  | Interest |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 | \$ | 1,846,696 | \$ | 516,530 | \$ | 2,363,226 |
| 2023 |  | 1,896,024 |  | 467,124 |  | 2,363,148 |
| 2024 |  | 1,946,717 |  | 416,350 |  | 2,363,067 |
| 2025 |  | 1,998,817 |  | 364,170 |  | 2,362,987 |
| 2026 |  | 2,052,363 |  | 310,541 |  | 2,362,904 |
| 2027-2031 |  | 7,212,446 |  | 814,555 |  | 8,027,001 |
| 2032-2036 |  | 4,218,490 |  | 182,111 |  | 4,400,601 |
|  | \$ | 21,171,553 | \$ | 3,071,381 | \$ | 24,242,934 |

## Note 5: Inventories

Inventories at June 30, 2021, consisted of the following:

| Water Inventory | \$ 133,821 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Materials Inventory | $2,268,918$ |
|  | $\underline{\$ 2,402,739}$ |

## Note 6: Defined Benefit Pension Plans

a. General Information about the Pension Plan

## Plan Description

All qualified permanent and probationary employees are eligible to participate in the Public Agency Cost-Sharing Multiple-Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plan (the Plan), administered by the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS). The Plan's benefit provisions are established by statute. The Plan is included as a pension trust fund in the CaIPERS Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, which is available online at www.calpers.ca.gov.

Benefits Provided
CaIPERS provides service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members, who must be public employees and beneficiaries. Benefits are based on years of credited service, equal to one year of full-time employment. Members with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 50 to 63 with statutorily reduced benefits. PEPRA miscellaneous members become eligible for service retirement upon attainment of age 52 with at least 5 years of service. All members are eligible for non-duty disability benefits after 5 years of service. The death benefit is one of the following: The Basic Death Benefit, the 1957 Survivor Benefit, or the Optional Settlement 2W Death Benefit. Safety members can receive a special death benefit if the member dies while actively employed and the death is job-related. Fire members may receive the alternate death benefit in lieu of the Basic Death Benefit or the 1957 Survivor Benefit if the member dies while actively employed and has at least 20 years of total CaIPERS service. The cost of living adjustments for each plan are applied as specified by the Public Employees' Retirement Law.
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## Note 6: Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

The Plans' provisions and benefits in effect at June 30, 2021, are summarized as follows:

|  | Classic Miscellaneous Plan | PEPRA <br> Miscellaneous Plan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hire Date | Prior to January 1, 2013 | On or After January 1, 2013 |
| Benefit Formula | $2.5 \%$ at 55 | $2 \%$ at 62 |
| Benefit Vesting Schedule | 5 years of service | 5 years of service |
| Benefit Payments | monthly for life | monthly for life |
| Retirement Age | 50-63 | 52-67 |
| Monthly Benefits, as a \% of Eligible Compensation | 2.0\%-2.5\% | 1.0\% - 2.5\% |
| Required Employee Contribution Rates | 7.953\% | 6.750\% |
| Required Employee Contribution Rates: | 12.361\% | 7.732\% |

## Contributions

Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers are determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. The total plan contributions are determined through CalPERS' annual actuarial valuation process. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. The District is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees. District contribution rates may change if plan contracts are amended. Payments made by the employer to satisfy contribution requirements are identified by the pension plan terms.

## b. Pension Liabilities, Pension Expenses and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

As of June 30, 2021, Rainbow Municipal Water District reported a net pension liability for its proportionate share of the net pension liability of the risk pools in the amount of $\$ 8,158,280$.

The District's net pension liability for each Plan is measured as the proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability of each of the Plans is measured as of June 30, 2020, and the total pension liability for each Plan used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2019 rolled forward to June 30, 2020, using standard update procedures. The District's proportionate share of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the District's long-term share of contributions to the pension plans relative to the projected contributions of all participating employers, actuarially determined.
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## Note 6: Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

The Rainbow Municipal Water District's proportionate share of the net pension liability as of the measurement date ended June 30, 2019 and 2020 was as follows:

|  |  <br> PEPRA Plans |
| :--- | :---: |
| Proportion - June 30, 2019 | $0.0735 \%$ |
| Proportion - June 30, 2020 | $0.0750 \%$ |
| Change - Increase (Decrease) | $2.0150 \%$ |

For the year ended June 30, 2021, the District recognized pension expense of $\$ 1,460,406$. At June 30, 2021, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

|  | Deferred Outflows of Resources |  | Deferred Inflows of Resources |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pension Contributions Subsequent to Measurement Date | \$ | 479,387 | \$ |  |
| Differences Between Actual and Expected Experience |  | 420,419 |  | - |
| Change in Assumptions |  | - |  | 58,188 |
| Changes in Proportions |  | 257,654 |  | - |
| Difference between the Employer's Contributions and the Employer's Proportionate Share of Contributions |  | - |  | 512,037 |
| Net Differences Between Projected and Actual |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings on Plan Investments |  | 242,355 |  | - |
| Total | \$ | 1,399,815 | \$ | 570,225 |

The $\$ 479,387$ reported as deferred outflows of resources related to contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ending June 30, 2022. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized as pension expense as follows:

| Year Ending June 30 |  | Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2022 |  | 15,385 |  |
| 2023 |  |  | 127,261 |
| 2024 |  | 91,316 |  |
| 2025 |  | 116,241 |  |
|  |  |  | 350,203 |
|  |  |  |  |
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## Note 6: Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

## Actuarial Assumptions

The total pension liability for the June 30, 2020 measurement period was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2019, with update procedures used to roll forward the total pension liability to June 30, 2020. The total pension liability was based on the following assumptions:

Valuation Date
Measurement Date
Actuarial Cost Method

Actuarial Assumptions:
Discount Rate $\quad 7.15 \%$
Inflation 2.50\%

Projected Salary Increase
Mortality Rate Table
Post Retirement Benefit Increase
Discount Rate $7.15 \%$

Classic \& PEPRA
Plans
June 30, 2019
June 30, 2020
Entry-Age Normal
Cost Method

Post Retirement Benefit Increase
(1) Varies by entry age and service.
(2) The mortality table used was developed based on CaIPERS-specific data. The table includes 15 years of mortality improvements using the Society of Actuaries Scale 90\% of scale MP 2016. For more details on this table, please refer to the December 2017 experience study report (based on CalPERS demographic data from 1997 to 2015) that can be found on the CalPERS website.
(3) The lesser of contract COLA or $2.50 \%$ until Purchasing Power Protection Allowance floor on purchasing power applies

## Long-term Expected Rate of Return

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class.

In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account both short-term and long-term market return expectations, as well as the expected pension fund cash flows. Using historical returns of all the funds' asset classes, expected compound (geometric) returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11+ years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the rounded single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equal to the single equivalent rate calculated above and adjusted to account for assumed administrative expenses.
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## Note 6: Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

The expected real rates of return by asset class are as follows:

| Asset Class (a) | New Strategic Allocation | Real Return Years - 10 (b) | Real Return Years 11+ (c) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Global Equity | 50.00\% | 4.80\% | 5.98\% |
| Fixed Income | 28.00\% | 1.00\% | 2.62\% |
| Inflation Assets | 0.00\% | 0.77\% | 1.81\% |
| Private Equity | 8.00\% | 6.30\% | 7.23\% |
| Real Assets | 13.00\% | 3.75\% | 4.93\% |
| Liquidity | 1.00\% | 0.00\% | -0.92\% |
| Total | 100.00\% |  |  |

(a) In the CaIPERS financial report, Fixed Income is included in Global Debt Securities; Liquidity is included in Short-Term Investments; Inflation Assets are included in both Global Equity Securities and Global Debt Securities
(b) An expected inflation of $2.00 \%$ used for this period.
(c) An expected inflation of $2.92 \%$ used for this period.

## Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was $7.15 \%$. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members will be made at the current member contribution rates and that contributions from employers will be made at statutorily required rates, actuarially determined. Based on those assumptions, the Plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on plan investments was applied to all periods of benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

## Sensitivity of the Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability of the each Plan, calculated using the discount rate for each Plan, as well as what the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

|  | Classic \& PEPRA <br> PLANS |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1\% Decrease | $6.15 \%$ |  |
| Net Pension Liability | $\$$ | $12,555,954$ |
| Current Discount Rate | $\$$ | $7.15 \%$ |
| Net Pension Liability |  | $8,158,280$ |
| 1\% Increase | $\$$ | $4,524,619$ |
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## Note 6: Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Continued)

Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position
Detailed information about each pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CalPERS financial reports.

## Payable to the Pension Plan:

At June 30, 2021, the District had no outstanding amount of contributions to the pension plan required for the year ended June 30, 2021.

## Note 7: Other Post-Employment Benefits

## Plan Description - Benefits

The District administers its Other Post-Employment Benefits (OPEB), a single employer defined benefit plan, to provide medical, prescription drugs, and dental benefits, in accordance with a resolution approved by the board of Directors. Medical insurance is provided through a choice of a Blue Cross HMO or Blue Cross Classic PPO, both offered through the Association of California Water Agencies Joint Powers Insurance Authority. Dental insurance is provided through Assurant Employee Benefits.

Employees of the District hired before July 1, 2012, retiring after the later of age 50 with 10 consecutive years of District service are eligible to receive a monthly District contribution towards the purchase of health insurance if they have not opted out. The District contribution ends after ten (10) years of benefit payments have been made, even if retiree or spouse are still under age 65 at the time. No assets are accumulated in a trust that meets the criteria in paragraph 4 of GASB Statement No. 75.

## Employees Covered

As of the June 30, 2021 actuarial valuation, the following current and former employees were covered by the benefit terms under the plan:

Measurement Date
Total Eligible Active Employees
June 30, 2021
Inactive Employees Currently Receiving Benefit
Total

8
27

## Total OPEB Liability

The District's total OPEB liability of $\$ 712,262$ was measured as of June 30, 2021 and was determined by an actuarial valuation as of July 1, 2021. A summary of the principal assumptions and methods used to determine the total OPEB liability is shown below.
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## Note 7: Other Post-Employment Benefits (Continued)

## Actuarial Assumptions and Other Inputs

The total OPEB liability reported at June 30, 2021 was determined using the following actuarial assumptions and other inputs, applied to all periods included in the measurement, unless otherwise specified:

| Valuation Date <br> Measurement Date | July 1, 2021 <br> June 30, 2021 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Actuarial Cost Methods | Entry Age Normal Cost Method |
| Actuarial Assumptions: |  |
| $\quad$ Discount Rate | $2.16 \%$ |
| Inflation | $2.50 \%$ |
| Projected Salary Increase | $3.50 \%$ |
| Expected Long Term Investment Rate of Return | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Healthcare Cost Trend Rates | Varies |
| Pre-Retirement Turnover | Derived from the July 1, 2015 Rainbow Municipal |
|  | Water District Actuarial Valuation Report |
| Morality | Derived from the RP-2014 Study, with Blue Collar |
|  | Adjustment, improved generationally using |
|  | MP-2016 Improvement Rules |

The discount rate of 2.16 percent was based on The Bond Buyer 20-Bond GO Index and was updated to the current fiscal year end based on changes in market conditions as reflected in the Index.

## Changes in the Total OPEB Liability

The changes in the total OPEB liability are as follows:

|  | Total OPEB Liability |
| :---: | :---: |
| Balance at July | \$ 708,893 |
| Changes in the |  |
| Service cost | 6,294 |
| Interest on the total OPEB liability | 15,040 |
| Differences between actual and expected experience | 36,255 |
| Changes in assumptions | 2,478 |
| Benefit Payments, including refunds of employee contributions | $(56,698)$ |
| Net Changes | 3,369 |
| Balance at June 30, 2021 | \$ 712,262 |
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## Note 7: Other Post-Employment Benefits (Continued)

## Sensitivity of the Total OPEB Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the total OPEB liability of the District, calculated using the discount rate for the Plan, as well as what the District's total OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage point lower (1.16\%) or 1-percentage point higher (3.16\%) than the current rate:


## Sensitivity of the Total OPEB Liability to Changes in Healthcare Cost Trend Rate

The following presents the total OPEB liability of the District, as well as what the District's total OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using healthcare cost trend rates that are 1-percentage point lower or 1-percentage point higher than the current healthcare cost trend rates:

Total OPEB Liability


## OPEB Expense and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources Related to OPEB

The District elected to use the Alternative Measurement Method for reporting GASB 74/75 for fiscal year 2021. According to the implementation guide for GASB 74/75, the changes in the total OPEB liability resulting from differences between expected and actual experience and changes in assumptions or other inputs should be recognized in OPEB expense in the periods in which the effects are first reported in the OPEB liability. Due to this, the District has recognized its deferrals arising from expected and actual experience, as well as changes of assumptions in OPEB expense. For the year ended June 30, 2021, the District recognized OPEB expense of \$60,067.

## Note 8: Risk Management

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; job-related illnesses or injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The District purchases commercial insurance for its exposure to risk other than those under the workers' compensation laws. Commercial insurance expense amounted to \$403,483 for the years ended June 30, 2021.

The District's workers' compensation risk exposure is handled by the District's participation in the Special District Risk Management Authority (SDRMA) established by the California Special Districts Association. SDRMA is a risk pooling joint powers authority formed under the California Government Code to provide workers' compensation coverage for SDRMA's member districts. SDRMA purchases excess insurance from commercial carriers to reduce its exposure to large losses. Workers' compensation expense amounted to $\$ 136,143$ for the year ended June 30, 2021.
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## Note 8: Risk Management (Continued)

There were no instances in the past three years where a settlement exceeded the District's coverage provided through SDRMA or through the District's commercial carriers.

General and Auto Liability, Public Officials' and Employees' Errors and Omissions and Employment Practices Liability: Total risk financing limits of $\$ 10$ Million combined with single limit at $\$ 10$ Million per occurrence, subject to the following deductibles:

- $\$ 100,000$ per occurrence for third party general liability property damage.
- $\$ 1,000$ per occurrence for third party auto liability property damage.
- $50 \%$ co-insurance of cost expended by SDRMA, in excess of $\$ 10,000$ up to $\$ 50,000$, per occurrence.

Employee Dishonesty Coverage: $\$ 1,000,000$ million per loss includes Public Employee Dishonesty, Forgery or Alteration and Theft, Disappearance and Destruction coverage's effective July 1, 2020.

Property Loss: Replacement cost, for property on file, if replaced, and if not replaced within two years after the loss, paid on an actual cash value basis, to a combined total of $\$ 1$ Billion per occurrence, subject to a $\$ 1,000$ deductible per occurrence, effective July 1, 2020.

Boiler and Machinery: $\$ 100$ Million per occurrence, subject to a $\$ 1,000$ deductible per occurrence, effective July 1, 2020.

Public Officials Personal Liability: \$500,000 each occurrence, with an annual aggregate of $\$ 500,000$ per each elected/appointed official to which this coverage applies, deductible of \$1,000 per claim, effective July 1, 2020.

Comprehensive and Collision: Comprehensive and Collision limits are the actual cash value or cost of repair with deductibles of $\$ 250 / \$ 500$ or $\$ 500 / \$ 1,000$, as elected, for comprehensive and collision.

Workers' Compensation Coverage and Employer's Liability: Statutory limits per occurrence for Workers' Compensation and $\$ 5$ Million for Employer's Liability Coverage, subject to the terms, conditions and exclusions as provided in the Certificate of Coverage, effective July $1,2020$.

## Note 9: Deferred Compensation Plan

The District offers its employees a deferred compensation plan in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457. The plans, administered by Aspire and Lincoln Financial Group, are available to all regular employees, permits deferment of a portion of current salary to future years. Benefits from the plans are not available to employees until termination, retirement, disability, death, or unforeseeable emergencies.

All assets and income of the plans are held in trust for the exclusive benefit of the participants and their beneficiaries. The District does not meet the criteria for fiduciary fund reporting since it does not have either significant administrative involvement (e.g. custody) or perform the investment function. Therefore, the fair market value of the plan assets at June 30, 2021, in the amount of $\$ 5,158,643$, is not included in the District's financial statements.
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## Note 10: Commitments and Contingencies

## Tank Maintenance Commitments

On April 22, 2015, the District entered into a ten (10) year contract with Utility Service Co., Inc. to maintain twelve water tanks. The contract was updated on July 1, 2011 to maintain the tanks at an annual cost of $\$ 615,585$. The contract can be cancelled annually if intent to cancel is received with ninety (90) days prior to the anniversary date. Any outstanding balance for completed work would be due and payable within thirty (30) days of cancellation.

## Capacity Rights for Sewage Treatment

On February 13, 2002, Rainbow Municipal Water District (the District) entered into a contract with the City of Oceanside, California (the City) to provide for the construction, operation, maintenance, and replacement of a wastewater system to service the needs of both the City and the District. The City owns the wastewater conveyance, treatment, and disposal facilities and the District has the contractual right to discharge wastewater into the City's System. The City and the District have previously entered into agreements on January 2, 1973, September 10, 1989, and February 16, 2019. This agreement reflects the planned expansion and rehabilitation of facilities built from those previous agreements. Under the agreement, the District's share of cost for planned expansion and rehabilitation of the facilities would be $10 \%$ of the total cost of expansion.

## Construction Project Commitments

The following construction projects had remaining commitments outstanding at June 30, 2021:

| Project Name/Description | PO Amount |  | Expenditures as of June 30, 2021 |  | Remaining Commitments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North River Road Sewer Point Repairs | \$ | 538,167 | \$ | 511,259 | \$ | 26,908 |
| Dentro De Lomas Road Improvements |  | 87,210 |  | 82,850 |  | 4,360 |
| Rainbow Heights Pump Station Rehabilitation |  | 2,637,397 |  | 1,255,591 |  | 1,381,806 |
| North River Road Sewer Manhole Rehabilitation |  | 373,234 |  |  |  | 373,234 |
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RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

MISCELLANEOUS RISK POOL
SCHEDULE OF PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AS OF JUNE 30, FOR THE LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS ${ }^{1}$

|  |  | 2021 |  | 2020 |  | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Measurement Date |  | 6/30/2020 |  | 6/30/2019 |  | 6/30/2018 |
| Proportion of the Net Pension Liability |  | 0.0750\% |  | 0.0735\% |  | 0.0716\% |
| Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability | \$ | 8,158,280 | \$ | 7,527,597 | \$ | 6,899,648 |
| Covered Payroll | \$ | 4,935,944 | \$ | 5,212,648 | \$ | 3,887,469 |
| Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability as Percentage of Covered Payroll |  | 165.3\% |  | 144.4\% |  | 177.5\% |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability |  | 75.1\% |  | 75.3\% |  | 75.3\% |

## Notes to Schedule of Proportationate Share of the Net Pension Liability:

Benefit Changes:
None

Changes of Assumptions:
2021: None
2020: None
2019: Demographic assumptions and inflation rate were changed in accordance to the CalPERS Experience Study and Review of Actuarial Assumptions December 2017. There were no changes in the discount rate.
2018: The accounting discount rate reduced from 7.65 percent to 7.15 percent.
2017: None
2016: The discount rate was changed from 7.5 percent (net of administrative expense) to 7.65 percent to correct for an adjustment to exclude administrative expense.
2015: None
${ }^{1}$ Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of implementation; therefore, only seven years are shown.

|  | 2018 |  | 2017 |  | 2016 |  | 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6/30/2017 |  | 6/30/2016 |  | 6/30/2015 |  | 6/30/2014 |
|  | 0.0709\% |  | 0.0694\% |  | 0.0668\% |  | 0.0552\% |
| \$ | 7,031,525 | \$ | 6,009,026 | \$ | 4,584,303 | \$ | 3,435,302 |
| \$ | 4,118,123 | \$ | 3,679,407 | \$ | 3,829,237 | \$ | 3,873,095 |
|  | 170.7\% |  | 163.3\% |  | 119.7\% |  | 88.7\% |
|  | 73.3\% |  | 74.1\% |  | 78.4\% |  | 79.8\% |

## RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

## MISCELLANEOUS RISK POOL

## SCHEDULE OF PLAN CONTRIBUTIONS

AS OF JUNE 30, FOR THE LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS ${ }^{1}$

|  | 2021 |  | 2020 |  | 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarially Determined Contribution Contribution in Relation to the Actuarially Determined Contribution | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 479,387 \\ (479,387) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 512,037 \\ (512,037) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 865,241 \\ (865,241) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Contribution Deficiency (Excess) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Covered Payroll | \$ | 5,036,802 | \$ | 4,935,944 | \$ | 5,212,648 |
| Contributions as a Percentage of Covered Payroll |  | 9.5\% |  | 10.4\% |  | 16.6\% |

## Notes to Schedule of Plan Contributions:

The actuarial methods and assumptions used to set the actuarially determined contributions for Fiscal Year 2020-21 were derived from the June 30, 2018 funding valuation report.

Actuarial Cost Method: Entry Age Normal
Amortization Method/Period: For details, see June 30, 2018 Funding Valuation Report
Inflation: 2.5\%
Salary Increases: Varies by Entry Age and Service
Payroll Growth: 2.75\%
Investment Rate of Return: $7.25 \%$ compounded annually (net of in
Retirement Age: The probabilities of retirement are based on the 2017 CaIPERS Experience Study for the period of 1997 to 2015.

Mortality: The probabilities of retirement are based on the 2017 CaIPERS Experience Study for the period of 1997 to 2015. Pre-retirement and post-retirement mortality rates include 20 years of projected mortality improvement using Scale BB published by the Society of Actuaries.

1 Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of implementation; therefore, only seven years are shown.

| 2018 |  | 2017 |  | 2016 |  | 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | $\begin{gathered} 743,649 \\ (743,649) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 656,639 \\ (656,639) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 369,170 \\ (369,170) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{gathered} 570,649 \\ (570,649) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| \$ | 3,887,469 | \$ | 4,118,123 | \$ | 3,679,407 | \$ | 3,829,237 |
|  | 19.1\% |  | 15.9\% |  | 10.0\% |  | 14.9\% |
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## RAINBOW MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS
SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE TOTAL OPEB LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS
AS OF JUNE 30, FOR THE LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS ${ }^{1}$

|  | 2021 |  | 2020 |  | 2019 |  | 2018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Measurement Date |  | /30/2021 | 6/30/2020 |  | 6/30/2019 |  | 6/30/2018 |  |
| Total OPEB Liability: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service cost | \$ | 6,294 | \$ | 5,388 | \$ | 5,027 | \$ | 7,607 |
| Interest |  | 15,040 |  | 22,545 |  | 24,801 |  | 26,991 |
| Differences between expected and actual experience |  | 36,255 |  | - |  | $(108,804)$ |  | - |
| Changes in assumptions |  | 2,478 |  | 58,081 |  | 68,033 |  | - |
| Benefit payments |  | $(56,698)$ |  | $(42,753)$ |  | $(43,026)$ |  | $(24,866)$ |
| Net Change in Total OPEB Liability |  | 3,369 |  | 43,261 |  | $(53,969)$ |  | 9,732 |
| Total OPEB Liability - Beginning of Year |  | 708,893 |  | 665,632 |  | 719,601 |  | 709,869 |
| Total OPEB liability - End of Year | \$ | 712,262 | \$ | 708,893 | \$ | 665,632 | \$ | 719,601 |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total OPEB liability ${ }^{2}$ |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |
| Covered-employee payroll | \$ | 2,239,854 | \$ | 5,395,091 | \$ | 5,212,648 | \$ | 4,346,367 |
| Net OPEB liability as a percentage of covered-employee payroll |  | 31.8\% |  | 13.1\% |  | 12.8\% |  | 16.6\% |

Notes to Schedule of Changes in the Total OPEB Liability and Related Ratios:
Changes in Assumptions:
2021: The discount rate was decreased from $2.21 \%$ in fiscal year 2020 to $2.16 \%$ in fiscal year 2021 due to changes in market conditions.
2020: The discount rate was decreased by $1.29 \%$ from $3.50 \%$ in fiscal year 2019 to $2.21 \%$ in fiscal year 2020 due to changes in market conditions.
2019: The discount rate was decreased by $0.37 \%$ from $3.87 \%$ in fiscal year 2018 to $3.50 \%$ in fiscal year 2019 due to changes in market conditions.
2018: None
${ }^{1}$ Fiscal year 2018 was the first year of implementation; therefore, only four years are shown.
${ }^{2}$ The Total OPEB Liability is an unfunded obligation. The District does not have a trust dedicated exclusively to the payment of OPEB benefits.

## Statistical Section
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## STATISTICAL SECTION

This section of the annual comprehensive financial report presents detailed information as a context for understanding what the information in the financial statements, note disclosures and required supplementary information says about the government's overall financial health.
$2014 \quad 2015 \quad 2016$
2013
2012
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revenues, expenses, and changes in net position


| 193,439 | 64,447 | 34,158 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 855,131 | 673,910 | 710,843 | 514,415 | 439,807 | 331,842 | - | - | - | - |
| 1,048,570 | 738,357 | 745,001 | 514,415 | 439,807 | 331,842 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33,701,632 | 37,816,858 | 39,796,175 | 37,228,977 | 35,229,553 | 36,473,149 | 41,617,724 | 36,515,083 | 36,203,877 | 46,047,563 |


| 20,655,653 | 24,346,557 | 26,649,303 | 24,532,468 | 22,381,393 | 23,282,393 | 27,040,873 | 22,449,449 | 21,917,914 | 25,916,888 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 613,958 | 566,443 | 3,836,825 | 3,816,915 | 631,056 | 580,556 | 591,529 | 558,989 | 530,815 | 627,681 |
| 4,490,435 | 3,902,699 | 1,113,721 | 1,037,420 | 4,284,981 | 4,876,960 | 4,777,964 | 4,421,571 | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,836,404 | 2,055,704 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 522,935 | 447,295 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,191,712 | 2,516,855 |
| 872,146 | 984,327 | 768,966 | 804,140 | 1,044,631 | 1,085,553 | 1,003,899 | 868,927 | 1,893,429 | 1,190,746 |
| 1,764,356 | 1,613,567 | 1,763,824 | 1,708,779 | 1,566,845 | 1,735,473 | 1,646,593 | 1,738,948 | 2,268,304 | 766,637 |
| 3,232,712 | 2,062,840 | 3,987,687 | 3,924,311 | 2,683,658 | 2,855,623 | 4,272,246 | 4,467,521 | - | - |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3,277,178 | 2,048,907 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 544,451 | 500,127 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 298,776 | 344,374 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 455,288 | 960,809 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 954,151 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 23,336 | 16,843 |
| 780,744 | 906,026 | - | 24,364 | 999,844 | 1,279,194 | 1,254,293 | 1,551,506 | 1,005,437 | 1,624,801 |
| 474,869 | 580,941 | - | - | 612,800 | 545,976 | 601,322 | 568,091 | 632,456 | 807,352 |
| 318,768 | 448,088 | 148,438 | 816 | 339,948 | 430,850 | 549,822 | 1,519,984 | 718,066 | 701,148 |
| 2,734,068 | 3,062,584 | 3,295,219 | 3,318,247 | 3,110,968 | 3,445,476 | 3,648,435 | 3,656,649 | 2,189,068 | 2,327,663 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,702,844 | 1,081,691 |
| 35,937,709 | 38,474,072 | 41,563,983 | 39,167,460 | 37,656,124 | 40,118,054 | 45,386,976 | 41,801,635 | 44,008,413 | 44,889,672 |
| $(2,236,077)$ | $(657,214)$ | $(1,767,808)$ | $(1,938,483)$ | $(2,426,571)$ | $(3,644,905)$ | $(3,769,252)$ | $(5,286,552)$ | $(7,804,536)$ | 1,157,891 |

## Operating Revenues:

Direct Operating Renevues:
Water Sales
Wastewater Revenue
Other Operating Revenue
Total Direct Operating Revenues
Indirect Operating Renevues:
Grant Revenue
Operating Expenses:
Water Purchases
Water Purchases
Water Pumping
Transmission and Distribution
Operations $^{1}$
Operations ${ }^{1}$
Valve Maint
Valve Maintenance ${ }^{1}$
Distribution $^{1}$
Meter Services
Sewer Services
Administrative an
Administrative and General
Administration
Garage ${ }^{2}$
Human Resources ${ }^{2}$
Information Technology ${ }^{3}$
Board of Directors ${ }^{2}$
Engineering
Customer Service
Depreciation
Other Operating Expense
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|  | Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2021 |
| Non-operating Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax Revenue |  | 825,995 |  | 858,884 |  | 831,294 |  | 850,802 |  | 870,642 |  | 595,250 |  | 616,027 |  | 638,539 |  | 653,320 |  | 685,562 |
| Investment income |  | 239,524 |  | 40,687 |  | - |  | 89,981 |  | 721,408 |  | - |  | - |  | 1,134,118 |  | 841,596 |  | $(35,395)$ |
| Other |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 358,026 |  | 506,015 |  | 282,991 |  | 1,866,928 |
| Total Non-operating Revenues |  | 1,065,519 |  | 899,571 |  | 831,294 |  | 940,783 |  | 1,592,050 |  | 595,250 |  | 974,053 |  | 2,278,672 |  | 1,777,907 |  | 2,517,095 |
| Non-operating Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest |  | 126,202 |  | 67,774 |  | 108,543 |  | 403,969 |  | 667,939 |  | 361,037 |  | 370,175 |  | 570,855 |  | 616,775 |  | 571,839 |
| Grant Expense |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Non-operating Expenses |  | 126,202 |  | 67,774 |  | 108,543 |  | 403,969 |  | 667,939 |  | 361,037 |  | 370,175 |  | 570,855 |  | 616,775 |  | 571,839 |
| Miscellaneous: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Contribution |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 294,192 |  | 1,091,515 |  | 156,302 |  | 2,972,963 |  | 2,941,091 |  | 11,239,897 |  | 4,804,501 |
| Loss on Abandonment of Capital Assets |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(1,933,240)$ |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total Miscellaneous |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 294,192 |  | 1,091,515 |  | $(1,776,938)$ |  | 2,972,963 |  | 2,941,091 |  | 11,239,897 |  | 4,804,501 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{10}$ Change in Net Position |  | $(1,296,760)$ |  | 174,583 |  | $(1,045,057)$ |  | $(1,107,477)$ |  | $(410,945)$ |  | $(5,187,630)$ |  | $(192,411)$ |  | $(637,644)$ |  | 4,596,493 |  | 7,907,648 |
| $\stackrel{N}{N}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\infty$ Prior Period Adjustment |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | $(4,168,449)$ |  | 6,892,850 |  | $(4,780,351)$ |  | $(213,681)$ |  | 1,329,829 |  | - |  |  |
| ONet Position, Beginning Restated |  | 94,215,306 |  | 92,918,546 |  | 93,093,129 |  | 87,879,623 |  | 93,664,996 |  | 88,473,700 |  | 83,072,389 |  | 84,209,807 |  | 83,572,163 |  | 88,168,656 |
| - Net Position, Ending | \$ | 92,918,546 | \$ | 93,093,129 | \$ | 92,048,072 | \$ | 86,772,146 | \$ | 93,254,051 | \$ | 83,286,070 | \$ | 82,879,978 | \$ | 83,572,163 | \$ | 88,168,656 | \$ | 96,076,304 |
| th Percentage Change |  | -1.38\% |  | 0.19\% |  | -1.12\% |  | -5.73\% |  | 7.47\% |  | -10.69\% |  | -0.49\% |  | 0.84\% |  | 5.50\% |  | 8.97\% |

'Prior to FY 2020 Departments were included in Transmission and Distribution.
${ }^{2}$ Prior to FY 2020 Departments were included in Administration and General. ${ }^{3}$ Prior to FY 2021 Departments were included in Administration and General.
SUMMARY OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION

| Fiscal Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2021 |  |
| \$ 33,701,632 | \$ | 37,816,858 | \$ | 39,796,175 | \$ | 37,228,977 | \$ | 35,229,553 | \$ | 36,473,149 | \$ | 41,617,724 |  | 36,515,083 | \$ | 36,201,243 | \$ | 46,047,563 |
| 35,937,709 |  | 38,474,072 |  | 41,563,983 |  | 39,167,460 |  | 37,656,124 |  | 40,118,054 |  | 45,386,976 |  | 41,801,635 |  | 44,008,413 |  | 44,889,672 |
| $(2,236,077)$ |  | $(657,214)$ |  | $(1,767,808)$ |  | $(1,938,483)$ |  | $(2,426,571)$ |  | $(3,644,905)$ |  | (3,769,252) |  | $(5,286,552)$ |  | $(7,807,170)$ |  | 1,157,891 |
| 939,317 |  | 831,797 |  | 722,751 |  | 536,814 |  | 924,111 |  | $(1,699,027)$ |  | 603,878 |  | 1,707,817 |  | 1,076,914 |  | 1,945,256 |
| $(1,296,760)$ |  | 174,583 |  | $(1,045,057)$ |  | $(1,401,669)$ |  | (1,502,460) |  | $(5,343,932)$ |  | $(3,165,374)$ |  | $(3,578,735)$ |  | $(6,730,256)$ |  | 3,103,147 |
| - |  | - |  | - |  | 294,192 |  | 1,091,515 |  | 156,302 |  | 2,972,963 |  | 2,941,091 |  | 11,239,897 |  | 4,804,501 |
| - |  | - |  | - |  | $(4,168,449)$ |  | 6,892,850 |  | $(4,780,351)$ |  | $(213,681)$ |  | 1,329,829 |  | - |  | - |
| \$ (1,296,760) | \$ | 174,583 | \$ | $(1,045,057)$ | \$ | (5,275,926) | \$ | 6,481,905 | \$ | (9,967,981) | \$ | $(406,092)$ | \$ | 692,185 | \$ | 4,509,641 | \$ | 7,907,648 |

SUMMARY OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION

|  | Operating <br> Revenues |  | Operating <br> Expenses |  |  | Gain (Loss) from Operations | Non-operating Revenue Less Expenses |  | Income Before Capital Contributions |  | Capital Contributions |  | Prior Period Adjustment |  | Changes in Net Position |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | \$ | 33,701,632 | \$ | 35,937,709 | \$ | $(2,236,077)$ | \$ | 939,317 | \$ | $(1,296,760)$ | \$ |  |  | \$ - | \$ | $(1,296,760)$ |
| 2013 |  | 37,816,858 |  | 38,474,072 |  | $(657,214)$ |  | 831,797 |  | 174,583 |  |  |  |  |  | 174,583 |
| 2014 |  | 39,796,175 |  | 41,563,983 |  | $(1,767,808)$ |  | 722,751 |  | $(1,045,057)$ |  |  |  | - |  | $(1,045,057)$ |
| 2015 |  | 37,228,977 |  | 39,167,460 |  | $(1,938,483)$ |  | 536,814 |  | $(1,401,669)$ |  | 294,192 |  | $(4,168,449)$ |  | $(5,275,926)$ |
| 2016 |  | 35,229,553 |  | 37,656,124 |  | $(2,426,571)$ |  | 924,111 |  | $(1,502,460)$ |  | 1,091,515 |  | 6,892,850 |  | 6,481,905 |
| 2017 |  | 36,473,149 |  | 40,118,054 |  | $(3,644,905)$ |  | $(1,699,027)$ |  | $(5,343,932)$ |  | 156,302 |  | $(4,780,351)$ |  | $(9,967,981)$ |
| 2018 |  | 41,617,724 |  | 45,386,976 |  | $(3,769,252)$ |  | 603,878 |  | $(3,165,374)$ |  | 2,972,963 |  | $(213,681)$ |  | $(406,092)$ |
| 2019 |  | 36,515,083 |  | 41,801,635 |  | $(5,286,552)$ |  | 1,707,817 |  | $(3,578,735)$ |  | 2,941,091 |  | 1,329,829 |  | 692,185 |
| 2020 |  | 36,201,243 |  | 44,008,413 |  | $(7,807,170)$ |  | 1,076,914 |  | $(6,730,256)$ |  | 11,239,897 |  | - |  | 4,509,641 |
| 2021 |  | 46,047,563 |  | 44,889,672 |  | 1,157,891 |  | 1,945,256 |  | 3,103,147 |  | 4,804,501 |  | - |  | 7,907,648 |

Operating Revenues
Operating Expenses Non-operating Revenue Less Expenses Income Before Capital Contributions Capital Contributions
Prior Period Adjustment
Changes in Net Position
Source: Rainbow Municipal Water District Audited Financial Statements
Source: Rainbow Municipal Water District Audited Financial Statements

## SUMMARY OF REVENUES AND EXPENSES

Last Ten Fiscal Years

| Fiscal <br> Year | Total Revenues | Total Expenses | Gain (Loss) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | \$ 34,767,151 | \$ 36,063,911 | \$ $(2,236,077)$ |
| 2013 | 38,716,429 | 38,541,846 | $(657,214)$ |
| 2014 | 40,627,469 | 41,672,526 | $(1,767,808)$ |
| 2015 | 38,169,760 | 39,571,429 | $(1,938,483)$ |
| 2016 | 36,821,603 | 38,324,063 | $(2,426,571)$ |
| 2017 | 37,068,399 | 38,545,851 | $(3,644,905)$ |
| 2018 | 42,591,777 | 45,757,151 | $(3,769,252)$ |
| 2019 | 38,793,755 | 42,372,490 | $(5,286,552)$ |
| 2020 | 36,201,243 | 44,008,413 | $(7,807,170)$ |
| 2021 | 46,047,563 | 44,889,672 | 1,157,891 |

Source: Rainbow Municipal Water District Audited Financial Statements

REVENUES BY SOURCE
Fiscal Year

EXPENSES BY FUNCTION

COMPUTATION OF LEGAL DEBT MARGIN

|  |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Assessed Value | \$ | 3,557,009,604 | \$ | 3,614,670,550 |  | 3,817,371,326 |  | 4,003,578,488 |  | 4,185,857,090 |  | 4,387,417,362 |  | 4,660,295,704 |  | 4,994,381,001 |  | 5,283,549,089 | \$ | 5,539,579,112 |
| Conversion Percentage |  | 25\% |  | 25\% |  | 25\% |  | 25\% |  | 25\% |  | 25\% |  | 25\% |  | 25\% |  | 25\% |  | 25\% |
| Adjusted Assessed Valuation | \$ | 889,252,401 | \$ | 903,667,638 | \$ | 954,342,832 | \$ 1 | 1,000,894,622 | \$ | 1,046,464,273 | \$ 1 | 1,096,854,341 | \$ | 1,165,073,926 | \$ | 1,248,595,250 | \$ | 1,320,887,272 | \$ | 1,384,894,778 |
| Debt Limit Percentage |  | 15\% |  | 15\% |  | 15\% |  | 15\% |  | 15\% |  | 15\% |  | 15\% |  | 15\% |  | 15\% |  | 15\% |
| Legal Debt Limit | \$ | 133,387,860 | \$ | 135,550,146 | \$ | 143,151,425 | \$ | 150,134,193 | \$ | 156,969,641 | \$ | 164,528,151 | \$ | 174,761,089 | \$ | 187,289,288 | \$ | 198,133,091 | \$ | 207,734,217 |
| Outstanding Debt |  |  |  |  |  | 14,135,186 |  | 17,978,129 |  | 17,615,446 |  | 16,875,544 |  | 16,119,968 |  | 15,348,493 |  | 14,160,736 |  | 21,171,553 |
| Less: Amount Reserved for Debt Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,129,683 |  | 9,124,094 |
| Net Applicable to Limit |  | - |  | - |  | 14,135,186 |  | 17,978,129 |  | 17,615,446 |  | 16,875,544 |  | 16,119,968 |  | 15,348,493 |  | 13,031,053 |  | 12,047,459 |
| Legal Debt Margin | \$ | 133,387,860 | \$ | 135,550,146 | \$ | 129,016,238 | \$ | 132,156,064 | \$ | 139,354,194 | \$ | 147,652,607 | \$ | 158,641,121 | \$ | 171,940,795 |  | 185,102,038 | \$ | 195,686,758 |
| Total Debt applicable to the limit as a percentage of debt limit |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 10\% |  | 12\% |  | 11\% |  | 10\% |  | 9\% |  | 8\% |  | 7\% |  | 6\% |

## Total Assessed Value

Last Ten Fiscal Years

|  |  | Percent <br> Increase/ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2012 | Assessed Value | $3,557,009,604$ | $-1.04 \%$ | Tecrease Collected |
| 2013 | $3,614,670,550$ | $1.62 \%$ | 518,935 |  |
| 2014 | $3,817,371,326$ | $5.61 \%$ | 545,832 |  |
| 2015 | $4,003,578,488$ | $4.88 \%$ | 555,940 |  |
| 2016 | $4,185,857,090$ | $4.55 \%$ | 526,292 |  |
| 2017 | $4,387,417,362$ | $4.82 \%$ | 595,250 |  |
| 2018 | $4,660,295,704$ | $6.22 \%$ | 616,027 |  |
| 2019 | $4,994,381,001$ | $7.17 \%$ | 641,868 |  |
| 2020 | $5,283,549,089$ | $5.79 \%$ | 661,209 |  |
| 2021 | $5,539,579,112$ | $4.85 \%$ | 685,566 |  |

CONSUMPTION BY CUSTOMER CLASS
Last Ten Fiscal Years
Acre Feet

|  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture w/Residence | 4,302 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 624 | 624 | 769 | 636 | 637 | 791 |
| Agriculture w/o Residence | n/a | 2,636 | 2,708 | 2,295 | 2,804 | 2,717 | 2,886 | 2,233 | 2,343 | 2,446 |
| Commercial | 1,861 | 1,879 | 1,970 | 2,003 | 571 | 629 | 845 | 791 | 745 | 867 |
| Construction | 69 | 12 | 45 | 64 | 104 | 94 | 158 | 118 | 37 | 249 |
| Institutional | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 65 | 62 | 52 | 63 | 43 | 64 |
| Multi-Family | 262 | 320 | 367 | 332 | 254 | 267 | 284 | 288 | 280 | 333 |
| PSAWR Commercial | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 359 |
| PSAWR Domestic | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 457 |
| TSAWR Commercial | 3,433 | 4,642 | 4,784 | 4,189 | 3,239 | 3,268 | 3,731 | 2,726 | 2,486 | 2,736 |
| TSAWR Domestic | 4,261 | 6,335 | 6,508 | 5,823 | 4,743 | 4,530 | 5,292 | 3,681 | 3,410 | 3,704 |
| Single Family | 4,210 | 4,509 | 4,846 | 4,224 | 3,154 | 3,428 | 3,900 | 3,236 | 3,266 | 3,958 |
| Total Consumption | 18,398 | 20,333 | 21,227 | 18,929 | 15,558 | 15,620 | 17,917 | 13,771 | 13,247 | 15,964 |
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TOP TEN WATER CONSUMERS
Fiscal Year 2021

| CUSTOMER | ANNUAL USAGE | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| TREESAP FARMS, LLC | 234,492 | $3.37 \%$ |
| GILLIGAN GROVES | 217,650 | $3.13 \%$ |
| SYCAMORE RANCH GOLF INC | 74,518 | $1.07 \%$ |
| RICK \& GAYLE MARROCCO | 68,234 | $0.98 \%$ |
| PINNICK INC | 65,509 | $0.94 \%$ |
| LYNN E. TRENDEL | 62,240 | $0.90 \%$ |
| DONALD \& DEBBIE DABBS | 45,456 | $0.65 \%$ |
| FERRUCCI PROPERTIES LLC | $\mathbf{2 7 , 9 9 3}$ | $0.40 \%$ |
| HELENE BECK | $\mathbf{2 7 , 5 5 2}$ | $0.40 \%$ |
| RICHARD BONNER | $\mathbf{2 6 , 0 9 6}$ | $0.38 \%$ |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 2 . 2 2 \%}$ |
| TOTAL COMBINED WATER CONSUMPTION | $\mathbf{8 4 9 , 7 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 . 7 8 \%}$ |
| ALL OTHER WATER CONSUMPTION | $\mathbf{6 , 1 0 3 , 7 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| TOTAL WATER CONSUMPTION | $\mathbf{6 , 9 5 3 , 5 0 1}$ |  |

TOP TEN WATER CUSTOMERS AND THEIR RELATIVE CONSUMPTION FISCAL YEAR 2021


TOP TEN WATER CONSUMERS
Fiscal Year 2009

| CUSTOMER | ANNUAL USAGE | PERCENTAGE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| HINES NURSERIES | 137,930 | $2.18 \%$ |
| MOON VALLEY NURSERY | 75,827 | $1.20 \%$ |
| SYCAMORE RANCH GOLF INC | 58,351 | $0.92 \%$ |
| WRK LLC | 46,887 | $0.74 \%$ |
| GOMEZ CREEK RANCH | 37,962 | $0.60 \%$ |
| DONNIE \& DEBBIE DABBS | 35,563 | $0.56 \%$ |
| JOAN \& ALAN WILLIAMS | 30,921 | $0.49 \%$ |
| FRANK WHITE | $\mathbf{2 8 , 2 9 7}$ | $0.45 \%$ |
| MILAGRO RANCH | $\mathbf{2 5 , 6 4 4}$ | $0.41 \%$ |
| OCEAN BREEZE RANCH LLC | $\mathbf{2 5 , 6 3 7}$ | $0.41 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| TOTAL COMBINED WATER CONSUMPTION | $\mathbf{5 0 3 , 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 9 6 \%}$ |
| ALL OTHER WATER CONSUMPTION | $\mathbf{5 , 8 1 3 , 1 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 0 4 \%}$ |
| TOTAL WATER CONSUMPTION | $\mathbf{6 , 3 1 6 , 1 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |

TOP TEN WATER CUSTOMERS AND THEIR RELATIVE CONSUMPTION FISCAL YEAR 2009

RATE SUMMARIES

|  | Single Family Residential |  |  | Multi Family | Commercial | Institutional | Construction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 2.55 | 2.60 | 2.6 | 2.55/2.6 | 2.55 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 2.60 |  |
| 2013 | 2.95 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 2.95/3.00 | 2.95 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 3.00 |  |
| 2014 | 3.00 | 3.15 | 3.15 | 3.00/3.15 | 3.00/3.15 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 3.15 |  |
| 2015 | 3.00 | 3.15 | 3.15 | 3.00/3.15 | 3.15 | n/a | 3.15 |  |
| 2016 | 3.31 | 3.48 | 3.81 | 3.40 | 3.51 | 3.58 | 3.51 |  |
| 2017 | 3.42 | 3.60 | 3.94 | 3.52 | 3.63 | 3.70 | 4.44 |  |
| 2018 | 3.64 | 3.86 | 4.27 | 3.76 | 3.89 | 3.98 | 4.87 |  |
| 2019 | 3.73 | 3.99 | 4.48 | 3.87 | 4.03 | 4.14 | 5.20 |  |
| 2020 | 3.73 | 3.99 | 4.48 | 3.87 | 4.03 | 4.14 | 5.20 |  |
| 2021 | 3.89 | 4.15 | 4.64 | 4.03 | 4.19 | 4.19 | 5.36 |  |
|  | Agriculture w/Residence |  |  | Agriculture w/o Residence | TSAWR ${ }^{1}$ Domestic |  |  | TSAWR ${ }^{1}$ Commercial |
|  | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 |  | Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 3 |  |
| 2012 | 2.60 | 2.60 | 2.60 | 2.43 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2013 | 2.95 | 3.00 | 2.72 | 2.43 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2014 | 3.00 | 3.15 | 2.83 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2015 | 3.00 | 3.15 | 3.15 | n/a | 3.00 | 3.15 | 2.83 | 2.83 |
| 2016 | 3.31 | 3.48 | 3.24 | 3.24 | 3.31 | 3.48 | 2.77 | 2.77 |
| 2017 | 3.42 | 3.60 | 3.35 | 3.35 | 3.42 | 3.60 | 2.87 | 2.87 |
| 2018 | 3.64 | 3.86 | 3.56 | 3.56 | 3.7 | 3.92 | 3.04 | 3.04 |
| 2019 | 3.73 | 3.99 | 3.63 | 3.63 | 3.94 | 4.21 | 3.15 | 3.15 |
| 2020 | 3.73 | 3.99 | 3.63 | 3.63 | 3.94 | 4.21 | 3.15 | 3.15 |
| 2021 | 3.89 | 4.15 | 3.79 | 3.79 | 4.14 | 4.41 | 3.35 | 3.35 |

[^0]
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| E-2" | F-3" | G-4" | $H-6 "$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| n/a | n/a | n/a | $n / a$ |
| n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| 215.94 | 304.14 | 445.94 | 694.04 |
| 305.39 | 663.57 | $1,191.44$ | $2,454.53$ |
| 310.64 | 674.94 | $1,211.84$ | $2,496.52$ |
| 342.67 | 744.10 | $1,335.70$ | $2,751.27$ |
| 388.52 | 843.30 | $1,513.53$ | $3,117.21$ |
| 388.52 | 843.30 | $1,513.53$ | $3,117.21$ |
| 394.13 | 855.57 | $1,535.61$ | $3,162.78$ |


| Capacity Class-Meter Size |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | A-5/8" | B-3/4" | $\mathrm{C}-1 "$ | $\mathrm{D}-11 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 2012 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2013 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2014 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 2015 | 58.83 | 65.93 | 94.87 | 162.34 |
| 2016 | 60.31 | 60.31 | 98.01 | 192.28 |
| 2017 | 61.37 | 61.37 | 99.71 | 195.59 |
| 2018 | 68.01 | 68.01 | 110.25 | 215.89 |
| 2019 | 77.36 | 77.36 | 125.21 | 244.89 |
| 2020 | 77.36 | 77.36 | 125.21 | 244.89 |
| 2021 | 78.41 | 78.41 | 126.96 | 248.40 |

[^1]Sewer Rates per Dwelling



| Calendar <br> Year | Deliveries <br> (AF) |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1983 | 23,746 |
| 1984 | 33,806 |
| 1985 | 28,886 |
| 1986 | 29,298 |
| 1987 | 27,382 |
| 1988 | 32,028 |
| 1989 | 34,828 |
| 1990 | 34,920 |
| 1991 | 24,567 |
| 1992 | 26,460 |
| 1993 | 22,997 |
| 1994 | 22,832 |
| 1995 | 20,872 |
| 1996 | 23,223 |
| 1997 | 24,906 |
| 1998 | 19,924 |
| 1999 | 28,721 |
| 2000 | 29,203 |
| 2001 | 26,803 |
| 2002 | 32,125 |
| 2003 | 30,472 |
| 2004 | 30,336 |
| 2005 | 28,911 |
| 2006 | 29,929 |
| 2007 | 31,865 |
| 2008 | 24,128 |
| 2009 | 26,894 |
| 2010 | 18,322 |
| 2011 | 19,276 |
| 2012 | 21,918 |
| 2013 | 21,526 |
| 2014 | 22,625 |
| 2015 | 17,868 |
| 2016 | 18,151 |
| 2017 | 18,123 |
| 2018 | 17,910 |
| 2019 | 13,720 |
| 2020 | 15,373 |
|  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 10 |  |

## HISTORICAL WATER DEMAND AND RECENT TRENDS

For 25 years following its founding in 1954, total SDCWA water deliveries to the District service area steadily increased, as agricultural acreage and population increased. By 1984, demands had climbed to almost 34,000-acre feet per year (AFY). Demands then dropped sharply during the drought restrictions of 1991-93, as mandatory cutbacks led to the stumping of avocado groves and other water use reductions, but by 2002 had rebounded to almost pre- 1991 conditions.

Beginning in 2008, demands again declined sharply, this time in response to economic recession, water price increases, a new round of drought restrictions, and increased adoption of water conservation measures. These factors have combined to produce a fundamental downward shift in per capita water use, with per account use declining by 35 percent from 2006 to 2013. Per capita use reached a minimum during the period from 2010 to 2012, but this was in response to economic recession, cooler than normal summer weather, and other impermanent conditions. The region has been experiencing a mega drought which has resulted in increased sales for 2020-2021, followed by implementation of voluntary water restrictions due to a level 1 water shortage.

Considering factors of economic equilibrium, average weather conditions, and normal water supply conditions (without water use restrictions in place), the Master Plan has judged calendar year 2018 to be representative of normal water use conditions in the current era and has defined calendar year 2018 water use as an appropriate baseline condition for use in demand forecasting.


| Employers | \# of <br> Employees |
| :--- | ---: |
| Bonsall Unified School District | 123 |
| Rainbow Municipal Water District | 57 |
| Vallecitor School District | 30 |
| Pala Mesa Resort | 20 |
| Z Café | 15 |
| Daniel's Market | 10 |
| Armstrong Growers | less than 10 |
| West Coast Tomatoe Growers | less than 10 |
| Rainbow Oaks Restaurant | less than 10 |
| Pala Mesa Market | less than 10 |
| Village Pizza | less than 10 |
| Tekila Cocina | less than 10 |
| Fresco Grill | less than 10 |
| Jack in the Box | less than 10 |
| Subway | less than 10 |
| McDonalds | less than 10 |
| Cortez Mexican | less than 10 |
| Quality Inn | less than 10 |
| Nessy Burget | less than 10 |
| Fallbrook Rib Shack | less than 10 |
| Randy Carlson DMD | less than 10 |
| North County Fire | less than 10 |

FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT EMPLOYEES BY DEPATMENT
Last Ten Fiscal Years

| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 5 | 6 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 6 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4.5 | 3 | 2 |
| 11.5 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 9.5 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 5}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 5}$ |
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## Historical Turnover <br> (5-year rates, all reasons)



## San Diego Area Economic Summary

Updated October 01, 2021
This summary presents a sampling of economic information for the area; supplemental data are provided for regions and the nation. Subjects include unemployment, employment, wages, prices, spending, and benefits. All data are not seasonally adjusted and some may be subject to revision. Area definitions may differ by subject. For more area summaries and geographic definitions, see www.bls.gov/regions/economic-summaries.htm.


Source: U.S. BLS, Local Area Unemployment Statistics.

Average weekly wages for all industries by county San Diego metro area, first quarter 2021
(U.S. $=\$ 1,289$; Area $=\$ 1,430$ )


Source: U.S. BLS, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages.

Over-the-year changes in employment on nonfarm payrolls and employment by major industry sector

12-month percent changes in employment


Source: U.S. BLS, Current Employment Statistics.

| San Diego metro area employment (number in thousands) | Aug. 2021 | Change from Aug. 2020 to Aug. 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent |
| Total nonfarm | 1,407.3 | 59.2 | 4.4 |
| Mining and logging | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Construction | 90.3 | 8.9 | 10.9 |
| Manufacturing | 113.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Trade, transportation, and utilities | 208.5 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| Information | 22.6 | 1.2 | 5.6 |
| Financial activities | 71.9 | -1.8 | $-2.4$ |
| Professional and business services | 249.7 | 6.1 | 2.5 |
| Education and health services | 211.8 | 5.3 | 2.6 |
| Leisure and hospitality | 164.6 | 31.0 | 23.2 |
| Other services | 49.1 | 9.7 | 24.6 |
| Government | 224.9 | -2.8 | -1.2 |

[^2]Over-the-year change in the prices paid by urban consumers for selected categories

12-month percent change in CPI-U, July 2021


Source: U.S. BLS, Consumer Price Index.

Average annual spending and percent distribution for selected categories

Average annual expenditures, United States and San Diego area, 2018-19


Employer costs per hour worked for wages and selected employee benefits by geographic division

| Private industry, <br> June 2021 | West census <br> region | United <br> States |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total compensation | $\$ 40.74$ | $\$ 36.64$ |
| Wages and salaries | 28.75 | 25.89 |
| Total benefits | 11.99 | 10.76 |
| Paid leave | 3.07 | 2.70 |
| Vacation | 1.55 | 1.38 |
| Supplemental pay | 1.30 | 1.25 |
| Insurance | 3.11 | 2.79 |
| Retirement and savings | 1.34 | 1.25 |
| Legally required benefits | 3.17 | 2.77 |

[^3]Over-the-year changes in the selling prices received by producers for selected industries nationwide

## 12-month percent changes in PPI



Source: U.S. BLS, Producer Price Index.

Average hourly wages for selected occupations

| Occupation | San Diego <br> metro area | United <br> States |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| All occupations | $\$ 30.91$ | $\$ 27.07$ |
| Human resources managers | 66.47 | 64.70 |
| Registered nurses | 53.66 | 38.47 |
| Accountants and auditors | 43.00 | 39.26 |
| Electricians | 30.22 | 29.59 |
| Construction laborers | 23.87 | 20.67 |
| Hotel, motel, and resort desk clerks | 15.60 | 12.93 |

Source: U.S. BLS, Occupational Employment and Wage Statistics, May 2020.

## Over-the-year changes in wages and salaries



Source: U.S. BLS, Employment Cost Index.

Western Information Office • BLSinfoSF@bls.gov • https://www.bls.gov/regions/west • 415-625-2270

## CLIMATE AND TOPOGRAPHY

The Fallbrook, Bonsall and Rainbow region has an average year-round temperature of 61 degrees. Due to the prevailing ocean breezes, the humidity is relatively low and constant. The average daytime high in Fallbrook is 76 degrees, although in the summer, temperatures sometimes exceed 100 degrees. Most of the area is frost-free; during the coldest periods, the average nighttime temperature is about 42 degrees. Due to its proximity to the ocean, days often start with early morning fog; afternoons can be breezy.

Annual rainfall is roughly 10 inches ( 25 cm ) and comes mostly between November and April. Rainfall is higher in the surrounding hills, up to 20 inches $(51 \mathrm{~cm})$. The area is ideal for avocados, strawberries, tomatoes and many other sub-tropical fruits, vegetables, and flowers.

The topography of the District ranges from relatively level valley lands to steeply sloping ridges with long, narrow canyons. Elevations vary from 120 feet in the San Luis Rey River basis to mountains over 2,200 feet high. This configuration of land enables dense, cool air to slide off the valley sides, creating a continuous mild turbulence on the valley floor, which results in a low incidence of frost essential to the growth of avocados and citrus, the major crops grown in the District.

## THE DISTRICT OFFICE





## MAP OF DISTRICT COMMUTE TIMES



## SANDAG AND COSTAR SUBMARKETS

- The Rainbow property is in the SANDAG North County East Major Statistical Area (MSA 5). To estimate future demand for the land uses being evaluated for the Rainbow property we are utilizing SANDAG's SR13 forecasts for population and housing unit growth and SANDAG's upcoming SR14 preliminary forecasts for job growth.
- For information on supply and demand trends for retail, office, industrial and multi-family uses, we are utilizing data from the commercial data provider CoStar. The CoStar submarkets that most closely match with the SANDAG North County East MSA include four areas (which we are collectively referring to as the "Inland North" market):

```
> 26-Outlying SD County North
> 13-Escondido
> 33-San Marcos
> 36-Vista
```

- The SANDAG and CoStar areas do not $100 \%$ align. The primary difference between the CoStar Inland North submarket and the SANDAG North County East MSA is that the CoStar area includes the community of Ramona, while SANDAG does not. The population of Ramona is only about 20 K and represents only about $4 \%$ of the 460 K population in the SANDAG North County East MSA (480K if Ramona is added). We have concluded that the aggregate CoStar Inland North and SANDAG North County East MSA areas are similar enough for our analytical purposes.
- CoStar submarket boundaries can vary for different land uses, particularly for Multi-Family. CoStar submarket maps are provided for each use at the beginning of each section of the report for that particular use.



## SANDAG PROJECTIONS - COUNTY POPULATION

- Population growth from 2020 to 2035 in the county is projected at about 27.9 K per year.
- Occupied housing unit growth from 2020 to 2035 is projected at about 9.9 K per year (SF 1.8 K per year, MF 8.1 K per year).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POPULATION AND HOUSING 2012 to 2050 Chanoo* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2012 | 2020 | 2035 | 2050 | Numoric | Parcont |
| Total Population | 3,143,429 | 3,435,713 | 3,853,698 | 4,068,759 | 925,330 | 29\% |
| Housonota Poputation | 3,039,937 | 3,325,715 | 3,738,048 | 3,949,115 | 909,178 | 30\% |
| Graup Quart... Ponumtion | 103.492 | 109.998 | 115,650 | 119.644 | 16.152 | 16\% |
| Civilion | 61,597 | 68.103 | 73,755 | 77.749 | 16.152 | 26\% |
| Military | 41,895 | 41.895 | 41.895 | 41.895 | 0 | 0\% |
| Total Housing Unita | 1.165,818 | 1,249,684 | 1,394,783 | 1,491,935 | 326.117 | 28\% |
| Singio Family | 703,101 | 731,693 | 758,622 | 763,226 | 60.125 | 9\% |
| Muitipio Family | 420,147 | 477.258 | 597.762 | 692.709 | 272,562 | 65\% |
| Mobilo Homes | 42.570 | 40,733 | 38,399 | 36,000 | -6.570 | -15\% |
| Occupied Housing Units | 1.103,034 | 1.178.091 | 1.326 .445 | 1.407.869 | 304.835 | 28\% |
| Sinale Family | 672.496 | 697.416 | 730.471 | 730,020 | 57.524 | 9\% |
| Muitiple Family | 391,534 | 443.213 | 560,793 | 645,548 | 254,014 | 65\% |
| Mobilo Homes | 39,004 | 37.462 | 35,181 | 32,301 | -6.703 | -17\% |
| Vacancy Raco | 5.4\% | 5.7\% | 4.9\% | 5.6\% | 0.2 | 4\% |
| Singla Family | 4.4\% | 4.7\% | 3.7\% | 4.4\% | 0.0 | 0\% |
| Multiplo Family | 6.8\% | 7.1\% | 6.2\% | 6.8\% | 0.0 | 0\% |
| Mobile Hames | 8.4\% | 8.0\% | 8.4\% | 10.3\% | 1.9 | 23\% |
| Personsper Housenold | 2.76 | 2.82 | 2.82 | 2.81 | 0.1 | 2\% |

Source: SANDAG SR13 Regional Growth Forecast, released in 2013. The forecast is in the process of being updated with new estimates to be available by the end of 2020 (SR14). According to SANDAG, the new forecast numbers for population and housing units are not expected to be substantially different than forecast in SR13.

## SANDAG PROJECTIONS - NORTH COUNTY EAST/MSA5 POPULATION

- Population growth from 2020 to 2035 in MSA 5 is projected at about 4.0 K per year.
- Occupied housing unit growth from 2020 to 2035 is projected at about 1.3 K per year (SF 664 per year, MF 634 per year).
- MSA 5 currently represents $14 \%$ of the countywide population and $13 \%$ of the countywide supply of housing units.

| POPULATION AND HOUSING |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2020 | 2035 | 2050 | 2012 to 2050 Chango* |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Numeric | Pereont |
| Total Population | 438,503 | 487.700 | 547,881 | 573.295 | 134,792 | 31\% |
| Household Population | 432.566 | 482,109 | 541.229 | 565,889 | 133,323 | 31\% |
| Group Quarturs Poputazion | 5.937 | 5,591 | 6,652 | 7.406 | 1,469 | 25\% |
| Civilran | 5.937 | 5,591 | 6,652 | 7.406 | 1.469 | 25\% |
| Mineary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| Totai Housing $U_{\text {nits }}$ | 148,823 | 163,094 | 181,744 | 191.439 | 42,616 | 29\% |
| Single Family | 96,104 | 103.348 | 112.619 | 115.143 | 19.039 | 20\% |
| Muitipio Family | 40.869 | 48,064 | 57.514 | 64.837 | 23.968 | 59\% |
| Mobite Homes | 11.850 | 17,682 | 11.611 | 11,459 | -391 | -3\% |
| Oecupiod Housing Units | 142.516 | 155,935 | 175,276 | 183,758 | 41,242 | 29\% |
| Single Family | 93,001 | 99,720 | 109.680 | 111.556 | 18.555 | 20\% |
| Muitipio Family | 38,687 | 45,507 | 55,018 | 61.990 | 23,303 | 60\% |
| Mobile Homos | 10.828 | 10,708 | 10.578 | 10.212 | -616 | -6\% |
| Vacancy Rata | 4.2\% | 4.4\% | 3.6\% | 4.0\% | -0.2 | -5\% |
| Single Family | 3.2\% | 3.5\% | 2.6\% | 3.1\% | -0.1 | -3\% |
| Mutuple Family | 5.3\% | 5.3\% | 4.3\% | 4.4\% | -0.9 | . $17 \%$ |
| Mobile Homos | 8.6\% | 8.3\% | 8.9\% | 10.9\% | 2.3 | 27\% |
| Persons per Housenold | 3.04 | 3.09 | 3.09 | 3.08 | 0.0 | 1\% |

Source: SANDAG SR13 Regional Growth Forecast, released in 2013. The forecast is in the process of being updated with new estimates to be available by the end of 2020 (SR14). According to SANDAG, the new forecast numbers for population and housing units are not expected to be substantially different than forecast in SR 13.

## LSL::::

October 31, 2021
To the Board of Directors
Rainbow Municipal Water District
Fallbrook, California
We have audited the financial statements of Rainbow Municipal Water District (the District) for the year ended June 30, 2021. Professional standards require that we provide you with information about our responsibilities under generally accepted auditing standards, Government Auditing Standards and the Uniform Guidance, as well as certain information related to the planned scope and timing of our audit. We have communicated such information in our letter to you dated April 22, 2021. Professional standards also require that we communicate to you the following information related to our audit.

## Significant Audit Findings and Other Issues

## Qualitative Aspects of Significant Accounting Practices

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by the District are described in the notes to the financial statements. No new accounting policies were adopted, and the application of existing policies was not changed during fiscal year 2020-2021. We noted no transactions entered into by the District during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. All significant transactions have been recognized in the financial statements in the proper period

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. The most sensitive estimates affecting the District's financial statements were:

Management's estimates of its net pension liability and total other post-employment benefits liability are based on actuarial valuation specialist assumptions. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the net pension liability and total other post-employment benefits liability to determining that they are reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

Certain financial statement disclosures are particularly sensitive because of their significance to financial statement users. The financial statement disclosures are neutral, consistent, and clear.

## Significant Unusual Transactions

Management is responsible for the policies and practices used to account for significant unusual transactions. No significant unusual transactions have occurred during fiscal year 2020-2021.

## Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

To the Board of Directors
Rainbow Municipal Water District
Fallbrook, California

## Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all known and likely misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. Management has corrected all such misstatements. In addition, none of the misstatements detected as a result of audit procedures and corrected by management were material, either individually or in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole.

## Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, a disagreement with management is a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

## Circumstances that Affect the Form and Content of the Auditor's Report

New auditing standards were implemented in fiscal year 2020-2021 related to Statement of Auditing Standards 134, Auditor Reporting and Amendments, Including Amendments Addressing Disclosures in the Audit of Financial Statements. This standard updated the form and content of the financial statement auditor's report. The purpose of the change was to present an easier format for users to understand the results of the audit and management's responsibilities.

## Management Representations

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated October 31, 2021.

## Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the District's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

## Other Audit Findings or Issues Discussed with Management

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the significant events or transactions that occurred during the year, business conditions affecting the District and business plans and strategies that may affect the risks of material misstatements, the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the District's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

To the Board of Directors
Rainbow Municipal Water District
Fallbrook, California

## Other Matters

We applied certain limited procedures to management's discussion and analysis, the schedule of proportionate share of the net pension liability, the schedule of plan contributions, and the schedule of changes in the total other post-employment benefits (OPEB) liability which are required supplementary information (RSI) that supplements the basic financial statements. Our procedures consisted of inquiries of management regarding the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We did not audit the RSI and do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the RSI.

We were not engaged to report on the introductory and statistical sections of the financial report, which accompany the financial statements but are not RSI. Such information has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

## New Accounting Standards

The following new Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) pronouncements were effective for fiscal year 2020-2021 audit:

GASB Statement No. 84, Fiduciary Activities.
GASB Statement No. 90, Majority Equity Interests - an Amendment of GASB Statement Nos. 14 and 61 .

GASB Statement No. 98, The Annual Comprehensive Financial Report.
The following GASB pronouncements are effective in the following fiscal years' audits and should be reviewed for proper implementation by management:

Fiscal year 2022
GASB Statement No. 87, Leases.
GASB Statement No. 89, Accounting for Interest Cost Incurred before the End of a Construction Period.

Fiscal year 2023
GASB Statement No. 91, Conduit Debt Obligations.
GASB Statement No. 94, Public-Private and Public-Public Partnerships and Availability Payment Arrangement.

GASB Statement No. 96, Subscription-Based Information Technology Arrangements.

To the Board of Directors
Rainbow Municipal Water District
Fallbrook, California

## Restriction on Use

This information is intended solely for the use of the Board of Directors and management of the District and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Very truly yours,


Brea, California

## LSL: : : :

# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS 

To the Board of Directors
Rainbow Municipal Water District
Fallbrook, California
We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Rainbow Municipal Water District (the District), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 31, 2021.

## Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

## Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.


To the Board of Directors
Rainbow Municipal Water District
Fallbrook, California

## Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.


Bra, California
October 31, 2021

## LS::::

Mr. Tom Kennedy and Ms. Tracy Largent<br>Rainbow Municipal Water District<br>Fallbrook, California

Dear Mr. Kennedy and Ms. Largent:
We have completed our audit of the Rainbow Municipal Water District (the District)'s operations as part of our audit for fiscal year ended June 30, 2021. During the course of our auditing procedures, we identified certain matters, which we would like to bring to your attention. These matters were not serious enough to report on the Report on Internal Control letter, dated October 31, 2021. These matters are not considered a reportable deficiency, but rather, an opportunity for improvement and strengthening of the District's internal controls.

## Restrict Posting Transactions Directly to Net Position (Equity)

During the audit, we noted that certain capital contribution transactions were posted directly to net position (fund equity) accounts. These revenue transactions should have been posted to appropriate revenue account, capital contributions. The amount was $\$ 52,564$ this year and after discussion with Ms. Largent, management reclassified the contributed capital to the appropriate capacity fees accounts. The District should establish a policy to restrict posting transactions directly to net position. Direct adjustments to net position accounts are only permissible for corrections of an error and implementation of changes in accounting principles. We also recommend that the Finance Manager review the net position account activity each month to ensure that the accounts only have appropriate entries.

## Update the Purchasing Manual and Communicate the Changes

We noted that the existing purchasing procedures manual does not currently include a segment discussing conflicts of interest and ethical practices in purchasing. We recommend that the District update its purchasing manual to include this information. Any changes in the purchasing manual, as well as existing, related internal controls, should be documented, and communicated to all affected persons. Internal controls cannot work unless employees are aware of them.

The above matters have been discussed during our audit exit meetings with the Finance Department on June 4, 2021 and September 10, 2021. Should any questions arise about the District's operations or the comments above, we will be available for discussion.


Brea, California
October 31, 2021


## SUBJECT

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE A VARIANCE FROM ORDINANCE 21-03 TO ALLOW A LOT, LARGER THAN 0.5 ACRES, TO QUALIFY FOR CAPACITY CLASS B 3/4" METER AND APPROVE THE CAPACITY CLASS WATER USE AGREEMENT (Division 3)

## BACKGROUND

The District identifies specific rate classes under District Ordinance No. 21-03 that are assigned to parcels according to meter size. Meter sizes are recommended based on lot size and water usage. The district board has determined criteria that allows customers to apply for variances from the assigned rate class provided that the parcel does not exceed the maximum units for the lower meter size being requested.

District staff has determined that a reasonable amount of time to assess usage is 12 months. For a parcel to move to a $3 / 4$ " rate class they should not exceed 50 units (one unit equals one hundred cubic feet ["CCF"]) of water use per month on average, for any 12-month period, based on the 12-month rolling average (month determined by scheduled meter reading cycle). According to Ordinance 21-03 which states "Lot size less than 21,780 sqft ( 0.5 acres) may qualify for a $3 / 4$ inch meter."

The property owner of APN 124-396-01-00, Dany Jensen, has requested a variance from Ordinance 2103 to downsize an existing 1 " meter on a 1.38 acre parcel to a $3 / 4$ inch meter and reduce his monthly fixed fees. According to the attached variance application (See Attachment 1), the customer has changed out many sprinklers with drip systems and will continue to refine. The rolling 12 - month average for the property is $\mathbf{6 2 . 4 2}$ units per month, which is over the required 50 units.

## DESCRIPTION

The capacity charges were developed by Wildan and Raftelis through a comprehensive study and analysis of the value of District assets and the maximum day use patterns of existing customers with particular meter sizes. The reference meter size for capacity class $B$ is $3 / 4$-inch. According to the Raftelis analysis, the maximum allowable usage for capacity class B is 50 units (one unit equals one hundred cubic feet ["CCF"]) per month, or $1.38 \mathrm{AF} / \mathrm{yr}$. The lot size requirement was included in the ordinance to protect the District from customers over using their intended capacity.

The staff will draft an agreement, with Board authorization, whereby District will suspend the requirement to pay Fixed Charges beyond those applicable to capacity class B under District Ordinance No. 21-03 provided that the Parcel does not exceed a maximum of 50 units of water use per month on average, for any 12 -month period, based on the 12-month rolling average (month determined by scheduled meter reading cycle). The agreement further stipulates the following to ensure the customer will be held accountable to comply with the purpose of Ordinance No. 21-03. In the event the Parcel exceeds a maximum of 50 units of water use per month on average, for any 12- month period, based on the 12month rolling average, District will notify the Owner of the exceedance. Within 3 months from the date of
the notice, the Owner shall bring the Parcel's average monthly water use, based on the 12-month rolling average, below 50 units per month. In the event Owner does not bring the Parcel's average monthly water use, based on the 12- month rolling average, below 50 units per month within the 3 months immediately following the date of the notice, the Owner shall become obligated to pay District the additional water Capacity Fees, then in affect, corresponding with the capacity class that matches the Parcel's use over the 12-month period.

## ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A Variance Request

## POLICY/STRATEGIC PLAN KEY FOCUS AREA

Strategic Focus Area Five: Customer Service. This type of agreement allows the Board to fit the requests of an individual customer based on their specific use patterns which fall outside the expectations covered by the existing policy.

## BOARD OPTIONS/FISCAL IMPACTS

If the Board approves the variance, the customer will save $\$ 45.53$ per month (assuming Single Family Residential rate) in monthly service charges to Rainbow MWD unless they exceed the intended capacity of 50 units/month.

1) Approve the variance to Ordinance 21-03 to allow a property larger than 0.5 acres to qualify for capacity class B and approve the New Water Service Agreement.
2) Deny the variance request.
3) Provide other direction to staff.

## ENVIRONMENTAL

In accordance with CEQA guidelines Section 15378, the action before the Board does not constitute a "project" as defined by CEQA and further environmental review is not required at this time.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends Option 2.


## ADMINISTRATIVE CODE VARIANCE APPLICATION



Specific sections) of the Admin. Code for which this variance is being requested (attach additional pages if needed): ReDuction from CLASS C, $1 " M E T E R ~ T o ~ A ~ C l a s s ~ B ~ 3 / 4 " M E T E R ~$

Reason why the variance should be approved including how the intent of the Admin. Code will be met (attach additional pages if necessary):
OUR MONTHLY AVG USAGE IS $~ 63$ UNITS (BELOW THE REQUIRED 80) EUEN with Tile Very large increase in our sept. Bill witich wt ARE STILL DISPUTING. WE HERE CHANGE OUT MANY SPRINGERS wiTt Drip systems And will continue to refine. We WILL BE ON A FIXED ND COME SHORTLY AND) MUST GET THE "FIXED" COSTS REDUCED. THEY CURRENTLY ARE OVER $\$ 100 / \mathrm{MD}$. BEFORE WE EVEN USE ONE DROP OT WATER. WE DO NOT HAVE SPRINKLERS IN THE HOME. PLEASE HELP US, BY APPROVING Rainbow Municipal Water District (District) Provisions: OUR REGUEST. THANK YOU The purpose of a variance is to provide flexibility in application of regulations necessary to achieve the purposes of the Administrative Code. A variance is intended to resolve practical difficulties or unnecessary physical hardships that may result from strict adherence to the policies contained in the Administrative Code. The cost to the applicant of strict adherence with any provision of the Administrative Code shall not be the sole reason for granting a variance. This application is subject to the approval of the District Board of Directors.


3707 Old Highway 395 • Fallbrook, CA 92028
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AINBOW
Municipal Water District
Committed to Excellence

## METER DOWNSIZE REQUEST

Date:


Account No.


Name:
Phone No.: 408-858-2490
Service Address:


Billing Address:
SAME

Complete Downsize Meter Information (one request per meter):

| From: <br> Capacity Class: | Ref. Size: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $C$ | $1^{\prime \prime}$ | To: <br> Capacity Class: | Ref. Size: | Accessor's Parcel Number: |
| $B$ | $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ | 1243960100 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Capacity Classes=Ref. Sizes: $A=5 / 8^{\prime \prime}, B=3 / 4^{\prime \prime}, C=1^{\prime \prime}, D=1.5^{\prime \prime}, E=2^{\prime \prime}, F=3^{\prime \prime}$
Indicate the reason for downsizing the existing water meters) Please circle one:
(a) Not using as much water
b. Agricultural Operations suspended
(c.) Other (Describe) OUR INTENT IS TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCE OUR WATER USAGE, OUR CURRENT 10 MO. AVG. IS -63 UNITS - WE ARE SOON MOVING The District will review the water usage history to determine if a smaller meter is capable of meeting the water usage demands and meet Capacity Class requirements. Please note - If you downsize to smaller than a 1inch meter, you may not meet fire flow requirements. In addition, services within high- or low-pressure areas will be required to sign and record a Notice of High or Low Water Pressure Condition.

If needed, the customer agrees to install a pressure regulator on their side of the meter and agrees to install at his/her cost a booster system to be owned, operated and maintained by the Owner/Agent, his/her successors and assigns.

When approval is granted by the District Engineer for the meter capacity class downsize, the owner will be required to sign/notarize a Meter Downsize Agreement.

## BY SIGNING BELOW, THE APPLICANT DECLARES UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY AS FOLLOWS:

1. I have the following legal authority to request meter downsizing as set forth above:
*I am the legal owner of the parcels) subject to this request and have attached proof of ownership.

- I am an authorized agent of the owner of the parcels) subject to this request and have attached proof of such authorization hereto.

2. I will immediately notify the Finance Manager of the District if any of the following occur at any point prior to completion of the meter downsizing: (a) I cease to have authority to request meter downsizing; (b) there is a change in ownership of the parcels) subject to this request; or (c) there is a change in control of water service under Chapter 8.04 of the Administrative Code.

3707 Old Highway 395 • Fallbrook, CA 92028
Phone: (760) 728-1178 • Fax: (760) 728-2575 • www.rainbowmwd.com
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3. I acknowledge that this request is subject to and approval is conditioned on compliance with District ordinances, rules and regulations, and the District's Administrative Code, including those provisions governing the size, number and location of service connections, governing who may request a connection and governing the terms of transfer.
4. I acknowledge that, if in the future a larger water meter is required due to higher water usage, I will be required to pay all-past District Operations and Maintenance fees starting from the effective date of the Meter Downsize Agreement through the effective date of the signing of the Meter Upsize Memorandum of Understanding.
5. I acknowledge that in the event the District determines that downsizing is not appropriate, I am responsible for bringing the account current by paying all unpaid fees assigned to the account and hereby agree to make any such payments.
6. I acknowledge that to the extent downsizing results in unused capacity, the District's obligation to recognize such unused capacity, if any, is subject to change and that I may not have a right to such unused capacity in the future. Chapter 8.11 of the Administrative Code.
7. In the event the District incurs any costs or suffers any damage as a result of any misrepresentation, any failure to notify the District of a change in authority to request meter downsizing, a change in ownership of the parcel(s) subject to this request, or a change in control of water service under Chapter 8.04 of the Administrative Code, or in the event this request is determined to be improper for any reason, I understand and agree that I will be solely responsible for, and will defend and indemnify the District from any such costs and damages, including but not limited to the District's reasonable attorney's fees.
8. I acknowledge that the District has the right to refuse or to discontinue water service at any time to protect the District from any fraud or for noncompliance with or violation of any ordinance or rule or regulation of the District arising from this request.

OWNER / AUTHORIZED AGENT:


## FINANCE DEPARTMENT ONLY:

Approved: $\square$ Yes $\square$ No
Comments: $\qquad$


| Reading Date | Reading | Usage | Billable |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 10/15/2021 11:37 | 515 | 44 | 44 |  |
| $9 / 17 / 2021$ 10:52 | 471 | 143 | 143 |  |
| $8 / 12 / 20217: 16$ | 328 | 87 | 87 |  |
| $7 / 19 / 20217: 53$ | 241 | 104 | 104 |  |
| $6 / 14 / 20217: 59$ | 137 | 79 | 79 |  |
| $5 / 17 / 2021$ 10:41 | 58 | 58 | 58 |  |
| $4 / 26 / 2021$ 0:00 | 6427 | 60 | 19 |  |
| $3 / 8 / 20219: 34$ | 6367 | 19 | 19 |  |
| $2 / 18 / 20219: 49$ | 6348 | 23 | 23 |  |
| 1/12/2021 14:07 | 6325 | 26 | 26 |  |
| 12/7/2020 10:39 | 6299 | 37 | 37 |  |
| 11/12/2020 15:04 | 6262 | 69 | 69 |  |
| 12 Mo. Average: |  | 62.41667 |  |  |

## SUBJECT

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE A VARIANCE FROM ORDINANCE 21-03 TO ALLOW A LOT, LARGER THAN 0.5 ACRES, TO QUALIFY FOR CAPACITY CLASS B, 3/4" METER AND APPROVE THE CAPACITY CLASS WATER USE AGREEMENT (Division 5)

## BACKGROUND

The District identifies specific rate classes under District Ordinance No. 21-03 that are assigned to parcels according to meter size. Meter sizes are recommended based on lot size and water usage. The district board has determined criteria that allows customers to apply for variances from the assigned rate class provided that the parcel does not exceed the maximum units for the lower meter size being requested.

District staff has determined that a reasonable amount of time to assess usage is 12 months. For a parcel to move to a $3 / 4$ " rate class they should not exceed 50 units (one unit equals one hundred cubic feet ["CCF"]) of water use per month on average, for any 12-month period, based on the 12-month rolling average (month determined by scheduled meter reading cycle). According to Ordinance 21-03 which states "Lot size less than 21,780 sqft ( 0.5 acres) may qualify for a $3 / 4$ inch meter."

The property owner of APN 109-233-10-00, William McEneaney, has requested a variance from Ordinance 21-03 to downsize an existing 1.5" meter on a 11.92 acre parcel to a $3 / 4$ - inch meter and reduce his monthly fixed fees. According to the attached variance application (See Attachment 1), the parcel is vacant and has no future plans for building or agricultural usage. The rolling 12-month average for the property is 0 units per month, which is well below the required 50 units or less.

## DESCRIPTION

The capacity charges were developed by Wildan and Raftelis through a comprehensive study and analysis of the value of District assets and the maximum day use patterns of existing customers with particular meter sizes. The reference meter size for capacity class $B$ is $3 / 4$-inch. According to the Raftelis analysis, the maximum allowable usage for capacity class B is 50 units (one unit equals one hundred cubic feet ["CCF"]) per month, or $1.38 \mathrm{AF} / \mathrm{yr}$. The lot size requirement was included in the ordinance to protect the District from customers over using their intended capacity.

The staff will draft an agreement, with Board authorization, whereby District will suspend the requirement to pay Fixed Charges beyond those applicable to capacity class B under District Ordinance No. 21-03 provided that the Parcel does not exceed a maximum of 50 units of water use per month on average, for any 12 -month period, based on the 12-month rolling average (month determined by scheduled meter reading cycle). The agreement further stipulates the following to ensure the customer will be held accountable to comply with the purpose of Ordinance No. 21-03. In the event the Parcel exceeds a maximum of 50 units of water use per month on average, for any 12- month period, based on the 12month rolling average, District will notify the Owner of the exceedance. Within 3 months from the date of
the notice, the Owner shall bring the Parcel's average monthly water use, based on the 12-month rolling average, below 50 units per month. In the event Owner does not bring the Parcel's average monthly water use, based on the 12- month rolling average, below 50 units per month within the 3 months immediately following the date of the notice, the Owner shall become obligated to pay District the additional water Capacity Fees, then in affect, corresponding with the capacity class that matches the Parcel's use over the 12-month period.

## ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A Variance Request

## POLICY/STRATEGIC PLAN KEY FOCUS AREA

Strategic Focus Area Five: Customer Service. This type of agreement allows the Board to fit the requests of an individual customer based on their specific use patterns which fall outside the expectations covered by the existing policy.

## BOARD OPTIONS/FISCAL IMPACTS

If the Board approves the variance, the customer will save $\$ 159.34$ per month (assuming Commercial rate) in monthly service charges to Rainbow MWD unless they exceed the intended capacity of 50 units/month.

1) Approve the variance to Ordinance 21-03 to allow a property larger than 0.5 acres to qualify for capacity class B and approve the New Water Service Agreement.
2) Provide other direction to staff.

## ENVIRONMENTAL

In accordance with CEQA guidelines Section 15378, the action before the Board does not constitute a "project" as defined by CEQA and further environmental review is not required at this time.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION

## Staff recommends Option 1.



## ADMINISTRATIVE CODE VARIANCE APPLICATION



## Rainbow Municipal Water District (District) Provisions:

The purpose of a variance is to provide flexibility in application of regulations necessary to achieve the purposes of the Administrative Code. A variance is intended to resolve practical difficulties or unnecessary physical hardships that may result from strict adherence to the policies contained in the Administrative Code. The cost to the applicant of strict adherence with any provision of the Administrative Code shall not be the sole reason for granting a variance. This application is subject to the approval of the District Board of Directors.

I hereby certify that all information provided in this application is true.


3707 Old Highway 395 • Fallbrook, CA 92028
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# METER DOWNSIZE REQUEST 



Indicate the reason for downsizing the existing water meter(s) Please circle one:
a. Not using as much water
b. Agricultural Operations suspended
c. Other (Describe)

This is an empty building pad, and we do not intend to build on it!
The District will review the water usage history to determine if a smaller meter is capable of meeting the water usage demands and meet Capacity Class requirements. Please note - If you downsize to smaller than a 1 inch meter, you may not meet fire flow requirements. In addition, services within high- or low-pressure areas will be required to sign and record a Notice of High or Low Water Pressure Condition.

If needed, the customer agrees to install a pressure regulator on their side of the meter and agrees to install at his/her cost a booster system to be owned, operated and maintained by the Owner/Agent, his/her successors and assigns.

When approval is granted by the District Engineer for the meter capacity class downsize, the owner will be required to sign/notarize a Meter Downsize Agreement.

## BY SIGNING BELOW, THE APPLICANT DECLARES UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY AS FOLLOWS:

1. I have the following legal authority to request meter downsizing as set forth above:
$\phi$ I am the legal owner of the parcel(s) subject to this request and have attached proof of ownership. - I am an authorized agent of the owner of the parcel(s) subject to this request and have attached proof of such authorization hereto.
2. I will immediately notify the Finance Manager of the District if any of the following occur at any point prior to completion of the meter downsizing: (a) I cease to have authority to request meter downsizing; (b) there is a change in ownership of the parcel(s) subject to this request; or (c) there is a change in control of water service under Chapter 8.04 of the Administrative Code.

3707 Old Highway 395 • Fallbrook, CA 92028
Phone: (760) 728-1178 • Fax: (760) 728-2575 • www.rainbowmwd.com
Nolumes/main/Finance/Group-Engineering/Meter Tasks-Foms/NEW- DS and removal forms/DownsizeMeterRequest_Jul2020.docx
3. I acknowledge that this request is subject to and approval is conditioned on compliance with District ordinances, rules and regulations, and the District's Administrative Code, including those provisions governing the size, number and location of service connections, governing who may request a connection and governing the terms of transfer.
4. I acknowledge that, if in the future a larger water meter is required due to higher water usage, I will be required to pay all-past District Operations and Maintenance fees starting from the effective date of the Meter Downsize Agreement through the effective date of the signing of the Meter Upsize Memorandum of Understanding.
5. I acknowledge that in the event the District determines that downsizing is not appropriate, I am responsible for bringing the account current by paying all unpaid fees assigned to the account and hereby agree to make any such payments.
6. I acknowledge that to the extent downsizing results in unused capacity, the District's obligation to recognize such unused capacity, if any, is subject to change and that I may not have a right to such unused capacity in the future. Chapter 8.11 of the Administrative Code.
7. In the event the District incurs any costs or suffers any damage as a result of any misrepresentation, any failure to notify the District of a change in authority to request meter downsizing, a change in ownership of the parcel(s) subject to this request, or a change in control of water service under Chapter 8.04 of the Administrative Code, or in the event this request is determined to be improper for any reason, I understand and agree that I will be solely responsible for, and will defend and indemnify the District from any such costs and damages, including but not limited to the District's reasonable attomey's fees.
8. I acknowledge that the District has the right to refuse or to discontinue water service at any time to protect the District from any fraud or for noncompliance with or violation of any ordinance or rule or regulation of the District arising from this request.

## OWNER / AUTHORIZED AGENT:



## FINANCE DEPARTMENT ONLY:

Approved: $\square$ Yes $\square$ No
Comments: $\qquad$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ TSAWR-Transitional Special Agriculture Water Rate
    ${ }^{2} 1$ unit of water $=748$ gallons

[^1]:    

    | Sewer Rates per Dweiling Unit |  |  |  |  |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    |  | Single Family | Multi Family | Sewer Only | Commercial |
    | 2012 | $25.76-68.54$ | $25.76-68.54$ | $25.76-68.54$ | 68.54 |
    | 2013 | $25.76-68.54$ | $25.76-68.54$ | $25.76-68.54$ | 68.54 |
    | 2014 | $28.70-75.50$ | $28.70-75.50$ | $28.70-75.50$ | 75.50 |
    | 2015 | $28.7-75.50$ | $28.7-75.50$ | $28.7-75.50$ | 75.50 |
    | 2016 | $28.7-75.50$ | $28.7-75.50$ | $28.7-75.50$ | 75.50 |
    | 2017 | $28.7-75.50$ | $28.7-75.50$ | $28.7-75.50$ | 75.50 |
    | 2018 | 55.07 | 40.51 | 54.40 | 40.51 |
    | 2019 | 55.07 | 40.51 | 54.40 | 40.51 |
    | 2020 | 55.07 | 40.51 | 54.40 | 40.51 |
    | 2021 | 55.07 | 40.51 | 54.40 | 40.51 |

    

[^2]:    Source: U.S. BLS, Current Employment Statistics.

[^3]:    Source: U.S. BLS, Employer Costs for Employee Compensation.

